arXiv:1701.01674v1 [math.DG] 6 Jan 2017

EXISTENCE AND NON-EXISTENCE OF MINIMAL GRAPHS

QI DING, J. JOST, AND Y.L. XIN

Abstract. We study the Dirichlet problem for minimal surface systems in arbitrary dimension and codimension, and obtain the existence of minimal graphs over arbitrary mean convex bounded C^2 domains for a large class of prescribed boundary data. This result can be seen as a natural generalization of the classical sharp criterion for solvability of the minimal surface equation by Jenkins-Serrin. In particular, we can construct a continuous family of solutions of codimension 2 to such Dirichlet problems by the method of continuity. In contrast, we also construct a class of prescribed boundary data on just mean convex domains, for which Dirichlet problem in codimension 2 is not solvable. Moreover, we study the uniqueness of these minimal graphs when they are strictly stable.

1. Introduction

The Dirichlet problem for minimal graphs is one of the classical problems in the theory of nonlinear elliptic PDEs. It has been investigated for over a century, starting with the fundamental work of Bernstein [3], Haar [10] and Rado [24], and it has inspired the development of methods for solving nonlinear elliptic PDEs and the regularity of their solutions. Many deep and important results were achieved, and for instance the papers of Jenkins-Serrin [12] and Lawson-Osserman [15] can be considered as classics in the field. Nevertheless, this problem still poses difficult challenges when we move from the classical case of surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ to minimal graphs of arbitrary dimension and codimension. In this paper, we make a systematic new contribution to that general problem.

In codimension 1, the graphic function that describes a minimal graph over an n-dimensional domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the minimal surface equation

$$\left(1+|Du|^2\right)\Delta u - u_i u_j u_{ij} = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{1.1}$$

For $n = 2$, when the domain Ω is convex, the Dirichlet problem for (1.1) is solvable for arbitrary continuous boundary data. This was achieved by successive efforts in the papers of Bernstein [3], Haar [10] and Rado [24] already mentioned. On the other hand, the Dirichlet problem is not necessarily solvable when Ω is non-convex, as pointed out by Bernstein, and Finn [7] constructed such counterexamples. For $n > 2$, Gilbarg [8] and Stampacchia [27] established the existence of solutions to (1.1) for smooth boundary data and strictly convex smoothly bounded domains. Jenkins-Serrin [12] relaxed convexity of the domain to mean convexity, and gave a sharp criterion for the solvability. When $\partial\Omega$ is not mean convex, they found smooth boundary data for which the Dirichlet problem of (1.1) is not solvable (see also [9]). (Also, in general, solutions are not unique; for some recent interesting examples of non-unique two-dimensional minimal graphs of codimension ≥ 3, see [25].) Thus, the situation for codimension 1 can be considered as well understood.

In higher codimensions, however, the situation is much more complicated, as was shown in a seminal paper by Lawson-Osserman [15]. In dimension $n = 2$, they showed the solvability of the Dirichlet problem for the minimal surface system with arbitrary continuous

boundary data on a bounded convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Again, in general, these solutions are not unique. In dimension $n \geq 4$, they gave non-existence examples for Dirichlet problems on unit balls with higher codimensions (Theorem 6.1, [15]). It is therefore natural to investigate under which conditions on the boundary data the Dirichlet problem for minimal graphs with dimension $n > 2$ and codimension $m \geq 2$ can be solved.

The first significant result for this problem was obtained by M.T.Wang [30] using the mean curvature flow. Wang obtained the following result.

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with convex C^2-boundary and diameter l. Let there be given a C^2-map $\psi : \bar{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ with

$$8nl \sup_{\Omega} |D^2\psi| + \sqrt{2} \sup_{\partial\Omega} |D\psi| < 1. \tag{1.2}$$

Then the Dirichlet problem for the minimal surface system with boundary values ψ admits a smooth solution.

In the present article, we take the next step. We study the Dirichlet problem for minimal graphs with arbitrary dimensions and codimensions, and obtain existence of minimal graphs over arbitrary mean convex bounded C^2 domain for a large class of prescribed boundary data, see Theorem 4.3. While on one hand, our results include the classical results of Jenkins-Serrin in the codimension one case, on the other hand, they provide an alternative condition for the solvability of the Dirichlet problem for minimal graphs over mean convex domains in higher codimensions.

Theorem 1.1. *For any mean convex bounded C^2 domain Ω and any $\varphi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$, there are constants ϵ_1, ϵ_2 depending on the geometry of Ω and $|\varphi|_{C^2(\Omega)}$ such that if the functions $\psi^1, \cdots, \psi^{m-1}$ satisfy*

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_1} \sup_{\Omega} |D^2\psi^\alpha| + \sup_{\Omega} |D\psi^\alpha| \right)^2 \leq \epsilon_2, \tag{1.3}$$

then there is a smooth solution $u = (u^1, \cdots, u^m)$ of the minimal surface system

$$\begin{cases} g^{ij} u^\alpha_{ij} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u^\alpha = \psi^\alpha & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \qquad \text{for } \alpha = 1, \cdots, m, \tag{1.4}$$

with $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \sum_\alpha u^\alpha_i u^\alpha_j$ and $\psi^m = \varphi$.

The constants ϵ_1, ϵ_2 can in principle be computed explicitly for codimension 2 at least, but since our values are presumably far from optimal, we do not bother to do so. Importantly, by the Lawson-Osserman counterexample, some such restrictions is necessary.

The main difference between our condition (1.3) and Wang's condition (1.2) is that we only need to impose a smallness condition on the second derivatives of $m-1$ out of the m boundary functions. Thus, while Wang's result can be considered in some intuitive sense as a perturbation of the case of linear boundary data (for which always a solution, the linear extension of the boundary data, exists), our result can be considered as a perturbation of the codimension 1 result, where, according to Jenkins-Serrin also always a solution can be found.

When comparing those results with the Lawson-Osserman counterexample, we are lead to the question to what extent also non-perturbative results are possible. But this is a question for future research.

If $f = (f^1, \cdots, f^m)$ is the solution of the parabolic system corresponding to (1.3), the difficulty to show the above Theorem 1.1 consists in obtaining effective gradient estimates for $|Df^m|$, when $|\psi^m|_{C^2}$ is arbitrary. Assuming sufficiently small $|\psi^\alpha|_{C^2}$ for $\alpha = 1, \cdots, m-1$, we can in fact show that $|Df^\alpha|$ is small in the interior of the domain using curvature estimates. Then we are able to choose a suitable auxiliary function to estimate $|Df^m|$ on the boundary. With the maximum principle, graph_f is area-decreasing along the flow, and this will enable us to show the long-time existence of the flow, which then converges to the solution of (1.3). In codimension 2, we can construct a continuous family of solutions by the continuity method for elliptic equations. The openness, as always, uses the implicit function theorem; it relies on the solvability of the Dirichlet problem in small neighborhoods of strictly stable minimal graphs.

On convex domains, we can control the constants to obtain an existence result for the Dirichlet problem comparable with Wang's theorem [30] mentioned above (see Theorem 4.5 for the proof).

Theorem 1.2. *For a convex bounded C^2 domain Ω, let $\psi^1, \cdots, \psi^m$ be functions on $\overline{\Omega}$ with*

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \left(enl\beta_0 \sup_\Omega |D^2\psi^\alpha| + \sup_\Omega |D\psi^\alpha| \right) < \sqrt{\beta_0 - 1} \tag{1.5}$$

for each constant $1 < \beta_0 \leq 9$, where l is the diameter of Ω. Then there is a smooth solution $u = (u^1, \cdots, u^m)$ with $\sup_\Omega \det\left(\delta_{ij} + u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha\right) < \beta_0$ of the minimal surface system (1.3) with $u = \psi$ on $\partial\Omega$.

For any C^2 map $\phi : \mathbb{S}^{n+k} \to \mathbb{S}^n \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, n \geq 4, k > 0$ which is not homotopic to zero as a map to $\mathbb{S}^n$, Lawson-Osserman [15] constructed boundary data on the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$, for which the corresponding Dirichlet problem has no solution. Their construction gives many non-existence examples for higher codimensions (Theorem 6.1, [15]). In section 6, we also construct many non-existence examples for the Dirichlet problem for minimal graphs in dimension > 2 and codimension 2 over any mean convex (but not convex) domain, see Theorem 6.1 for concrete results. This implies that ϵ_1, ϵ_2 in Theorem 1.1 cannot be independent of $|\varphi|_{C^2(\Omega)}$ (in contrast to the convex case, see (1.2) and (1.5)).

In the last section we consider the uniqueness of the Dirichlet problem for minimal graphs over a mean convex domain. In [15] Lawson-Osserman showed that there exists a real analytic function $\phi : \partial D \to \mathbb{R}^2$ with the property that there are at least three distinct solutions of the corresponding problem, where D is the unit disk in $\mathbb{R}^2$ (Theorem 5.1, [15]). Moreover, one of these solutions represents an unstable minimal surface. Lee-Wang [17] also considered the uniqueness. They proved a uniqueness theorem for nonparametric minimal submanifolds whose graphic functions are both distance-decreasing and equal on the boundary. We prove a new uniqueness result, Theorem 7.2, which states that if the solution in Theorem 5.3 is strictly stable, then it is the unique strictly stable solution.

2. Preliminaries

For an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we consider a C^2 isometric immersion $X:\ \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$. Then X is a minimal immersion if and only if

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(\sqrt{\det g_{kl}}\, g^{ij} \frac{\partial X}{\partial x_j}\right) = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where $g_{ij} = \langle \partial X/\partial x_i, \partial X/\partial x_j\rangle$, and the matrix (g^{ij}) is the inverse of (g_{ij}). The immersion X is called *non-parametric* if it has the form $X(x) = (x, u(x))$ for some vector-valued function $u = (u^1, \cdots, u^m):\ \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$. In this case the system (2.1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(\sqrt{\det g_{kl}}\, g^{ij}\right) = 0 \qquad \text{for } j = 1, \cdots, n \\ \displaystyle\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(\sqrt{\det g_{kl}}\, g^{ij} \frac{\partial u^\alpha}{\partial x_j}\right) = 0 \qquad \text{for } \alpha = 1, \cdots, m \end{cases}, \tag{2.2}$$

where g^{ij} are defined as above, and $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \partial_{x_i} u^\alpha \partial_{x_j} u^\alpha$.

By [23] (or [15]), the system (2.2) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g^{ij} \frac{\partial^2 u^\alpha}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = 0 \qquad \text{for } \alpha = 1, \cdots, m. \tag{2.3}$$

If $m = 1$, the above minimal surface system reduces to the following single equation

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}}\right) = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

De Giorgi [5] showed that Lipschitz solutions to the minimal surface equation (2.4) are smooth (see also [22][27] for instance). However, such regularity cannot extend to Lipschitz solutions of the minimal surface system (2.3) since Lawson-Osserman [15] have constructed non-parametric minimal cones, that is, nonsmooth Lipschitz solutions.

For any vector-valued function $f = (f^1, \cdots, f^m) \in C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$, we define

$$\sup_{\Omega} \Big|\bigwedge^2 df\Big| = \sup_{x\in\Omega} \Big|\bigwedge^2 df(x)\Big| = \sup_{x\in\Omega, 1\le i<j\le n} \mu_i(x)\mu_j(x),$$

where $\{\mu_k(x)\}_{k=1}^n$ are the singular values of $df(x)$. We call graph_f (*strictly*) *area-decreasing* if $\sup_\Omega \big|\bigwedge^2 df\big| \le (<) 1$. Consider the Gauss map of the submanifold graph_f in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$. Then, the area-decreasing property means that the image under its Gauss map lies in the largest geodesic convex subset of the Grassmannian manifold $G_{n,m}$ (see Final remarks in [14] for details).

Concerning interior regularity, Morrey [20, 21] showed that C^1 solutions u of the system (2.3) are smooth. Wang [30] showed the smoothness of Lipschitz solutions u to (2.3) provided $\big|\bigwedge^2 du\big| \le 1 - \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Allard's regularity theorem holds for more general rectifiable stationary varifolds (see also [19][26]) when there is an upper bound of the volume ratio (as well as of the excess).

Assume $\partial\Omega \in C^2$. Let u be a smooth solution of (2.3) in Ω which is Lipschitz up to the boundary $\partial\Omega$. If one blows the graph of u up at any boundary point, then any limit

is a Lipschitz graph over the half plane. From the arguments in 5.2 of [2] (see also [15]), any limit is a tangent cone consisting of a finite number of half-planes (of dimension n), each of which projects non-singularly into $\mathbb{R}^n$. Thus such a limit consists of a single half-plane, and the density is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ at each boundary point. Therefore, one can employ the regularity theorem of Allard in section 4 of [2], and conclude $u \in C^{1,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})$ for any $\gamma \in (0,1)$.

Let Δ and D denote the Laplacian and Levi-Civita connection of $\mathbb{R}^n$, respectively. We always denote

$$d(x) = d(x, \partial\Omega) = \inf_{y\in\partial\Omega} |x-y|,$$

and

$$\Omega_s \triangleq \{y \in \Omega |\ d(y,\partial\Omega) > s\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_s^* \triangleq \{y \in \Omega |\ d(y,\partial\Omega) < s\} \quad \text{for any } s > 0.$$

Unless the contrary is explicitly stated, we assume that the considered minimal graphs or mean curvature flows have dimension $n \geq 2$. For a vector-valued function $f = (f^1, \cdots, f^m)$, f^i denotes its i-th component and

$$v_f \triangleq \sqrt{\det\left(\delta_{ij} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} f_i^\alpha f_j^\alpha\right)}.$$

The Einstein summation convention over repeated indices will be used. Greek indices α, β take their values in the set $\{1, \cdots, m\}$.

3. Boundary gradient estimates for minimal surface system

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\partial\Omega \in C^2$ and diameter l. Then there is a constant $r_\Omega > 0$ such that at any point $p \in \partial\Omega$ there is an exterior ball with a uniform radius r_Ω outside of Ω. Namely, for any $p \in \partial\Omega$ there is a unique open ball $B_{r_\Omega}(q) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ centered at q and with the radius r_Ω such that $\overline{B_q(r_\Omega)} \cap \overline{\Omega} = p$.

We have the following boundary gradient estimate which is similar to Theorem 3.1 in [30], although the proof is different.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ as above, and $\psi = (\psi^1, \cdots, \psi^m) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$. Suppose the flow*

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial f^\alpha}{dt} = g^{ij} f_{ij}^\alpha & \text{in } \Omega \\ f^\alpha(\cdot, 0) = \psi^\alpha & \text{in } \Omega \\ f^\alpha(\cdot, t) = \psi^\alpha & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \qquad \text{for } \alpha = 1, \cdots, m, \tag{3.1}$$

exists smoothly on $\Omega \times [0,T)$, where (g^{ij}) is the inverse matrix of $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \sum_\alpha f_i^\alpha f_j^\alpha$. Then the following boundary gradient estimate holds:

$$\sup_{\partial\Omega\times[0,T)} |Df^\alpha| \leq nl[f]_{1,T}\, e^{1+\frac{nl}{r_\Omega}[f]_{1,T}} \sup_\Omega |D^2\psi^\alpha| + \sup_\Omega |D\psi^\alpha|, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$[f]_{1,T} \triangleq \sup_{x\in\Omega, t\in[0,T)} v_f^2(x,t) = \sup_{x\in\Omega, t\in[0,T)} \det\left(\delta_{ij} + \sum_\alpha f_i^\alpha f_j^\alpha\right).$$

Proof. We consider a point $p \in \partial\Omega$; without loss of generality (after a translation), we can assume $p = 0$ and $\overline{B_{r_\Omega}}(-r_\Omega E_n) \cap \overline{\Omega} = 0$, where $E_n = (0, 0, \cdots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r_\Omega E_n = (0, 0, \cdots, 0, r_\Omega)$. We define a function

$$S^\alpha(x,t) = \frac{\Theta_\alpha}{\theta}\left(1 - e^{-\theta\rho(x)}\right) - (f^\alpha(x,t) - \psi^\alpha(x))$$

on Ω, where $\rho(x) = |x + r_\Omega E_n| - r_\Omega$, and θ, Θ_α are positive constants to be defined later. Obviously, $\rho > 0$ on Ω. Put $y_i = x_i$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$ and $y_n = x_n + r_* E_n$. Note that every eigenvalue of g_{ij} is less than v_f^2. For any $\alpha \in \{1, \cdots, m\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial S^\alpha}{\partial t} - g^{ij}\partial_{ij}S^\alpha =& - g^{ij}\Bigg(\Theta_\alpha e^{-\theta\rho}\left(\frac{\delta_{ij}}{|x + r_\Omega E_n|} - \frac{y_i y_j}{|x + r_\Omega E_n|^3}\right) \\
&\qquad - \theta\Theta_\alpha e^{-\theta\rho}\frac{y_i y_j}{|x + r_\Omega E_n|^2}\Bigg) - \sum_{i,j} g^{ij}\partial_{ij}\psi^\alpha \\
\geq& \Theta_\alpha e^{-\theta\rho} g^{ij}\left(\frac{y_i y_j \theta}{|x + r_\Omega E_n|^2} - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|x + r_\Omega E_n|}\right) - \sum_{i,j} g^{ij}\partial_{ij}\psi^\alpha \qquad (3.3)\\
>& \Theta_\alpha e^{-\theta\rho}\left(\frac{\theta}{v_f^2} - \frac{n}{\rho + r_\Omega}\right) - n\sup_\Omega |D^2\psi^\alpha| \\
\geq& \Theta_\alpha e^{-\theta l}\left(\frac{\theta}{v_f^2} - \frac{n}{r_\Omega}\right) - n\sup_\Omega |D^2\psi^\alpha|,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that the smallest eigenvalue of g^{ij} is larger than v_f^{-2}. Let l be the diameter of Ω and $\theta = \frac{1}{l} + \frac{n}{r_\Omega}v_f^2$. If we set

$$\Theta_\alpha = nl[f]_{1,T}\, e^{1 + \frac{nl}{r_\Omega}[f]_{1,T}} \sup_\Omega |D^2\psi^\alpha|$$

with $[f]_{1,T} \triangleq \sup_{\Omega\times[0,T)} v_f^2$, then

$$\frac{\partial S^\alpha}{\partial t} - g^{ij}\partial_{ij}S^\alpha > 0. \qquad (3.4)$$

By the maximum principle, it is clear that $S^\alpha > 0$ on $\Omega \times [0, T)$. Analogously to the above argument, $\frac{\Theta_\alpha}{\theta}\left(1 - e^{-\theta\rho(x)}\right) + (f^\alpha(x,t) - \psi^\alpha(x)) > 0$ for any $(x,t) \in \Omega \times [0,T)$. Thus

$$|f^\alpha(x,t) - \psi^\alpha(x)| < \frac{\Theta_\alpha}{\theta}\left(1 - e^{-\theta\rho(x)}\right) \qquad \text{for any } (x,t) \in \Omega \times [0,T). \qquad (3.5)$$

Hence at the point $p = 0$, it follows that

$$|Df^\alpha(p,t) - D\psi^\alpha(p)| \leq \Theta_\alpha \qquad \text{for any } t \in [0,T). \qquad (3.6)$$

Since p is an arbitrary point in $\partial\Omega$, the proof is complete. □

It is worth to note that the above estimate holds for any C^2 bounded domain. In particular, if Ω is convex, we can allow $r_\Omega \to \infty$ in (3.2). Analogously to the above proof, we have the following estimate.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ as in Lemma 3.2, and $\psi = (\psi^1, \cdots, \psi^m) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$. Suppose $u = (u^1, \cdots, u^m)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} g^{ij}u^\alpha_{ij} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u^\alpha = \psi^\alpha & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \qquad \text{for } \alpha = 1, \cdots, m, \qquad (3.7)$$

where (g^{ij}) *is the inverse matrix of* $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \sum_\alpha u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha$. *Then the following boundary gradient estimate holds:*

$$\sup_{\partial\Omega} |Du^\alpha| \le nl[u]_{1,*}\, e^{1+\frac{nl}{r_\Omega}[u]_{1,*}} \sup_\Omega |D^2\psi^\alpha| + \sup_\Omega |D\psi^\alpha|,$$

where

$$[u]_{1,*} = \sup_\Omega v_u^2 = \sup_\Omega \det\left(\delta_{ij} + \sum_\alpha u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha\right).$$

We assume that $\partial\Omega$ has nonnegative mean curvature pointing into Ω. There is a small constant $d_0 > 0$ such that

$$d(x) \triangleq d(x, \partial\Omega)$$

is smooth for $x \in \Omega_{d_0}^* \triangleq \{y \in \Omega |\ d(y, \partial\Omega) < d_0\}$ and $\partial\Omega_t$ is an embedded C^2-hypersurface for all $0 \le t < d_0$, where $\Omega_t = \{x \in \Omega |\ d(x, \partial\Omega) > t\}$. $\partial\Omega_t$ then also has nonnegative mean curvature (see Lemma 14.17 in [9] for example). Let $\phi = \phi(d)$ be a C^2-function on $[0, d_0]$ with

$$\phi' \ge 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \phi'' \le 0.$$

Let $\lambda_{\min}(d)$ denote the smallest eigenvalue of $(d_{ij})_{n\times n}$ and

$$\lambda_-(d) \triangleq \max\left\{0, -\inf_{\Omega_{d_0}^*} \lambda_{\min}(d)\right\} \ge 0,$$

then $(-d_{ij})_{n\times n} \le \lambda_-(d) I_n$. Here, I_n is the unit $n \times n$ matrix.

Let $\varphi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\tilde\phi = \phi \circ d + \varphi$. For each $w^\alpha \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\alpha = 1, \cdots, m-1$, we define

$$a_{ij} \triangleq \delta_{ij} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1} w_i^\alpha w_j^\alpha + \tilde\phi_i \tilde\phi_j$$

for $1 \le i, j \le n$, and let (a^{ij}) be the inverse matrix of (a_{ij}).

Assume that $(D\tilde\phi, Dw^1, \cdots, Dw^{m-1})$ has singular values $\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n$ with

$$|\mu_i \mu_j| \le 1 \qquad \text{for any } i \ne j. \tag{3.8}$$

Then at any fixed point $p \in \Omega_{d_0}^*$, (by the uniqueness of the eigenvalues of (a_{ij})) we choose a coordinate system such that

$$a_{ij} = \delta_{ij}(1 + \mu_i^2),$$

and $\mu_1^2 \ge \mu_2^2 \ge \cdots \ge \mu_n^2$. From $a_{ii} = 1 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1} w_i^\alpha w_i^\alpha + \tilde\phi_i \tilde\phi_i = 1 + \mu_i^2$, it follows that

$$\mu_i^2 \ge |\tilde\phi_i|^2. \tag{3.9}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let* ϕ *be the function on* $[0, d_0]$ *defined as above. Then*

(3.10)

$$a^{ij}\tilde\phi_{ij} \le \phi' \lambda_-(d)\left(\frac{2}{(\phi')^2}\left(|D\varphi|^2 + \frac{n-1}{\mu_1^2}\right) + \frac{n-1}{1+\mu_1^2}\right) + \frac{\phi''}{\det a_{ij}} + n\lambda_+(\varphi) \qquad \text{on } \Omega_{d_0}^*,$$

where $\lambda_+(\varphi) = \max\{0, \sup_{\Omega_{d_0}^*} \lambda_{\max}(\varphi)\}$, *and* $\lambda_{\max}(\varphi)$ *is the largest eigenvalue of the matrix* (φ_{ij}).

Proof. Set

$$|w|_* = \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1} |Dw^\alpha|^2}.$$

Since $1+\mu_1^2$ is the largest eigenvalue of (a_{ij}), then obviously

$$|D\tilde{\phi}|^2 \le \mu_1^2 \le |D\tilde{\phi}|^2 + |w|_*^2.$$

Hence combining (3.8) and (3.9) one has

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} |\tilde{\phi}_i|^2 \le \sum_{i=2}^{n} \mu_i^2 \le \frac{n-1}{\mu_1^2} \le \frac{n-1}{|D\tilde{\phi}|^2}. \tag{3.11}$$

So we have

$$|\tilde{\phi}_1|^2 \ge |D\tilde{\phi}|^2 - \frac{n-1}{\mu_1^2}. \tag{3.12}$$

Namely,

$$(\phi')^2 d_1^2 + 2\phi'\varphi_1 d_1 + \varphi_1^2 \ge (\phi')^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(2\phi' d_i \varphi_i + \varphi_i^2\right) - \frac{n-1}{\mu_1^2}, \tag{3.13}$$

where $d_i = \frac{\partial d}{\partial x_i}$. For any $0 < \epsilon < 1$, by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi')^2 \left(1 - d_1^2\right) \le & - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left(2\phi' d_i \varphi_i + \varphi_i^2\right) + \frac{n-1}{\mu_1^2} \\
\le & \epsilon (\phi')^2 \sum_{i=2}^{n} d_i^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1\right) \sum_{i=2}^{n} \varphi_i^2 + \frac{n-1}{\mu_1^2} \\
\le & \epsilon (\phi')^2 \left(1 - d_1^2\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1\right) |D\varphi|^2 + \frac{n-1}{\mu_1^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Thus

$$1 - d_1^2 \le \frac{1}{(\phi')^2} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} |D\varphi|^2 + \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} \frac{n-1}{\mu_1^2}\right). \tag{3.15}$$

Recall $d(x) = d(x, \partial\Omega)$. At the point $p \in \Omega_{d_0}^*$, we may choose an orthonormal basis $\{\partial_\rho\} \cup \{\partial_{\theta_\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1,\cdots,n-1}$, such that $\partial_\rho d = 1$. There is a vector $(\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tau_i^2 = 1 - d_1^2$ such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} = d_1 \partial_\rho + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tau_i \partial_{\theta_i}. \tag{3.16}$$

Choose $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$. With (3.15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{11} = & \mathrm{Hess}_d \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right) = \tau_i \tau_j \mathrm{Hess}_d \left(\partial_{\theta_i}, \partial_{\theta_j}\right) \ge -\lambda_-(d) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tau_i^2 \\
= & -\lambda_-(d) \left(1 - d_1^2\right) \ge \frac{-2\lambda_-(d)}{(\phi')^2} \left(|D\varphi|^2 + \frac{n-1}{\mu_1^2}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal vector field tangent to $\partial\Omega_t$ at the considered point $p \in \partial\Omega_t$ for $0 < t < d_0$, and denote by e_n the unit normal vector field to $\partial\Omega_t$ so that e_n

points into Ω_t. Since the function d is a constant on $\partial\Omega_t$, then at p we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(D_{e_i}D_{e_i}-(D_{e_i}e_i)^T\right)d=0, \tag{3.18}$$

and $(D_{e_n}D_{e_n}-D_{e_n}e_n)\,d=0$, where $(\cdots)^T$ denotes the projection onto the tangent bundle of $\partial\Omega_t$. Since the boundary $\partial\Omega_t$ is mean convex and $D_{e_n}d=1$ at p, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta d=&\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(D_{e_i}D_{e_i}-D_{e_i}e_i\right)d=-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\langle D_{e_i}e_i,e_n\rangle\,D_{e_n}d+\left(D_{e_n}D_{e_n}-D_{e_n}e_n\right)d\\ =&-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\langle D_{e_i}e_i,e_n\rangle=-H_{\partial\Omega_t\setminus\partial\Omega}\le 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

We shall now compute $a^{ij}\tilde{\phi}_{ij}$. First, combining (3.8), (3.17) and (3.19), one has

$$\begin{aligned}a^{ij}d_{ij}=&\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{1+\mu_i^2}d_{ii}=\Delta d-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\mu_i^2}{1+\mu_i^2}d_{ii}\le-\frac{\mu_1^2}{1+\mu_1^2}d_{11}+\sum_{i=2}^{n}\frac{\mu_i^2}{1+\mu_i^2}\lambda_-(d)\\ \le&-\frac{\mu_1^2}{1+\mu_1^2}d_{11}+\sum_{i=2}^{n}\frac{1}{1+\mu_1^2}\lambda_-(d)\le\lambda_-(d)\left(\frac{2}{(\phi')^2}\left(|D\varphi|^2+\frac{n-1}{\mu_1^2}\right)+\frac{n-1}{1+\mu_1^2}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Secondly, noting $\phi''\le 0$ and the definition of $\lambda_+(\varphi)$ in this lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}a^{ij}\left(\phi''d_id_j+\varphi_{ij}\right)\le&\phi''\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{d_i^2}{1+\mu_i^2}+n\lambda_+(\varphi)\le\phi''\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{d_i^2}{1+\mu_1^2}+n\lambda_+(\varphi)\\ =&\frac{\phi''}{1+\mu_1^2}+n\lambda_+(\varphi)\le\frac{\phi''}{\det a_{ij}}+n\lambda_+(\varphi).\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Combining (3.20) and (3.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}a^{ij}\tilde{\phi}_{ij}=&a^{ij}\left(\phi'd_{ij}+\phi''d_id_j+\varphi_{ij}\right)\\ \le&\phi'\lambda_-(d)\left(\frac{2}{(\phi')^2}\left(|D\varphi|^2+\frac{n-1}{\mu_1^2}\right)+\frac{n-1}{1+\mu_1^2}\right)+\frac{\phi''}{\det a_{ij}}+n\lambda_+(\varphi).\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

We complete the proof. □

Now we state a result, which is sharp for $m=1$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let S_i^α be an $(n\times m)$-matrix with singular values $s_1\ge\cdots\ge s_n\ge 0$ and $n\ge 2$. If $s_1\ge\sqrt{n-1}$ and $s_is_j\le 1$ for $i\ne j$, then*

$$\det\left(\delta_{ij}+\sum_\alpha S_i^\alpha S_j^\alpha\right)\le 1+\left(\sum_\alpha\left(\sum_i(S_i^\alpha)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2. \tag{3.23}$$

Proof. Since $s_i \le \frac{1}{s_1}$ for $i \ge 2$, a straightforward computation implies

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=2}^{n}(1+s_i^2) =& 1+\sum_{2\le i\le n} s_i^2+\sum_{2\le i<j\le n} s_i^2 s_j^2+\sum_{2\le i<j<k\le n} s_i^2 s_j^2 s_k^2+\cdots+\prod_{2\le i\le n} s_i^2\\ =& 1+\sum_{2\le i\le n} s_i^2\left(1+\sum_{i<j\le n} s_j^2+\sum_{i<j<k\le n} s_j^2 s_k^2+\cdots+\prod_{i<j\le n} s_j^2\right)\\ \le& 1+\sum_{2\le i\le n} s_i^2\left(1+C_{n-i}^1 s_1^{-2}+C_{n-i}^2 s_1^{-4}+\cdots+C_{n-i}^{n-i} s_1^{-2(n-i)}\right)\\ =& 1+\sum_{2\le i\le n} s_i^2\left(1+s_1^{-2}\right)^{n-i}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Note that $s_j = 0$ for $j > m$ provided $m < n$. Denote $n_* = \min\{m, n\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\prod_{i=1}^{n_*}(1+s_i^2) \le (1+s_1^2)\left(1+\sum_{2\le i\le n_*} s_i^2\left(1+s_1^{-2}\right)^{n_*-i}\right)\\ =& 1+s_1^2+\sum_{2\le i\le n_*} s_i^2\left(1+s_1^{-2}\right)^{n_*-i}+\sum_{2\le i\le n_*} s_1^2 s_i^2\left(1+s_1^{-2}\right)^{n_*-i}\\ \le& 1+s_1^2+\sum_{2\le i\le n_*} s_1^{-2}\left(1+s_1^{-2}\right)^{n_*-i}+\sum_{2\le i\le n_*}\left(1+s_1^{-2}\right)^{n_*-i}\\ =& 1+s_1^2+\sum_{2\le i\le n_*}\left(1+s_1^{-2}\right)^{n_*-i+1}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Since $s_1 \ge \sqrt{n-1} \ge \sqrt{n_*-1} \ge 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{2\le i\le n_*}\left(1+s_1^{-2}\right)^{n_*-i+1} \le \sum_{2\le i\le n_*}\left(1+\frac{1}{n_*-1}\right)^{n_*-i+1}\\ =& \frac{n_*}{n_*-1}\frac{1-\left(\frac{n_*}{n_*-1}\right)^{n_*-1}}{1-\frac{n_*}{n_*-1}} = n_*\left(\left(\frac{n_*}{n_*-1}\right)^{n_*-1}-1\right) \le 2n_*,\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

where we have used $(1+\frac{1}{i})^i < e$ for $i \ge 1$. So

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n_*}(1+s_i^2) \le 1+s_1^2+2n_*. \tag{3.27}$$

Now let us prove this lemma by induction. Clearly, the inequality (3.23) holds for $m = 1$. Denote $|S^\alpha| = \sqrt{\sum_i (S_i^\alpha)^2}$. Assume that (3.23) holds for $m-1$ with $m \ge 2$. For

m, without loss of generality, we can assume $|S^m| = \min_{1\le\alpha\le m}\{|S^\alpha|\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1+s_i^2) =& \det\left(\delta_{ij}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}S_i^\alpha S_j^\alpha\right) = \det\left(\delta_{ij}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}S_i^\alpha S_j^\alpha + S_i^m S_j^m\right)\\ \le& \left(1+\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}|S^\alpha|\right)^2\right)\left(1+|S^m|^2\right)\\ =&1+\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}|S^\alpha|\right)^2+|S^m|^2+\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}|S^\alpha|\right)^2|S^m|^2\\ =&1+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}|S^\alpha|^2+\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta=1}^{m-1}|S^\alpha||S^\beta|+\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}|S^\alpha|\right)^2|S^m|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

If $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}|S^\alpha||S^m|\le 2$, then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1+s_i^2) \le 1+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}|S^\alpha|^2+\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta=1}^{m-1}|S^\alpha||S^\beta|+2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}|S^\alpha||S^m| = 1+\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}|S^\alpha|\right)^2. \tag{3.29}$$

If $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}|S^\alpha||S^m|\ge 2$, then

$$\sum_{\alpha\neq\beta=1}^{m-1}|S^\alpha||S^\beta|+2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}|S^\alpha||S^m| \ge (m-2)\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}|S^\alpha||S^m|+4 \ge 2(m-2)+4 = 2m. \tag{3.30}$$

Combining (3.27) and the fact $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}|S^\alpha|^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m}s_i^2$, it's clear that (3.29) holds for the case $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}|S^\alpha||S^m|\ge 2$. We complete the proof. □

Denote $|D\varphi|_0 \triangleq \sup_{x\in\Omega}|D\varphi|$.

Theorem 3.5. *For a vector-valued function $\psi = (\psi^1,\cdots,\psi^m)\in C^2(\overline{\Omega},\mathbb{R}^m)$, let $u = (u^1,\cdots,u^m)$ satisfy the minimal surface system (3.7). Denote $\varphi = \psi^m$ and*

$$\kappa = e^{\nu|D\varphi|_0 l}$$

with $\nu = 16n(\lambda_+(\varphi)+1)$. Let d_0 be a constant satisfying

$$\frac{1}{d_0} \ge \max\left\{\left(64(1+|D\varphi|_0)^2\kappa^2+8n\right)\lambda_-(d), 2n\nu(1+|D\varphi|_0)\right\} \tag{3.31}$$

such that $d\in C^2\left(\overline{\Omega^*_{d_0}}\right)$ and $\partial\Omega_t$ is C^2-embedded for $t\in(0,d_0]$. If the singular values λ_i of u_i^α satisfy $|\lambda_i\lambda_j|\le 1$ on Ω for $i\neq j$, and $|Du^\alpha|\le\frac{n-1}{m-1}$ on $\Omega_{d_0/\kappa}$ for $\alpha=1,\cdots,m-1$, and

$$\det g_{ij} \le \frac{2\kappa^2}{d_0^2\nu^2},$$

then we have

$$\sup_{x\in\partial\Omega}|Du^m(x)| \le \frac{\kappa}{d_0\nu}+|D\varphi|_0. \tag{3.32}$$

Proof. By the maximum principle for elliptic equations,

$$\inf_{y\in\Omega}\varphi(y) \le u^m(x) \le \sup_{y\in\Omega}\varphi(y) \qquad \text{for all } x\in\Omega. \tag{3.33}$$

Set

$$\phi(d)=\frac{1}{\nu}\log\left(1+\frac{\kappa}{d_0}d\right)\qquad\text{on }\Omega_{d_0},$$

then

$$\phi'=\frac{\kappa}{\nu(d_0+\kappa d)}>0\qquad\text{and}\qquad\phi''=-\frac{\kappa^2}{\nu(d_0+\kappa d)^2}<0. \tag{3.34}$$

Set

$$\tilde{\phi}=\phi\circ d+\varphi\qquad\text{on}\quad\Omega_{d_0}.$$

Let $\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n$ be the singular values of u_i^α such that $\lambda_1^2\geq\lambda_2^2\geq\cdots\geq\lambda_n^2$ at the considered point q. Denote $g_{ij}=\delta_{ij}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^m u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha$. Assume $D\tilde{\phi}=Du^m$ at q. Since $\frac{1}{2\nu d_0}\geq n(1+|D\varphi|)$, then $\phi'=\frac{\kappa}{\nu(1+\kappa d)}\geq\frac{1}{2d_0\nu}\geq n(1+|D\varphi|)$ and $\lambda_1\geq|D\tilde{\phi}|\geq|D\phi|-|D\varphi|\geq n$, and

$$v_u^2=\prod_{1\leq i\leq n}(1+\lambda_i^2)\leq(1+\lambda_1^2)\left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda_1^2}\right)^{n-1}\leq\left(1+\frac{1}{n^2}\right)^n\lambda_1^2\leq 2\lambda_1^2,$$

where we have used $(1+\frac{1}{i})^i<e$ for $i\geq 2$.

Case 1: $q\in\Omega^*_{\frac{d_0}{\kappa}}$.
At the point q, $d(q)<d_0/\kappa$. Recalling $\det g_{ij}=v_u^2\leq\frac{2\kappa^2}{d_0^2\nu^2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{\phi''}{\det g_{ij}}+n\lambda_+(\varphi)=&-\frac{\kappa^2}{2\nu(d_0+\kappa d)^2v_u^2}+n\lambda_+(\varphi)<-\frac{\kappa^2}{8\nu d_0^2v_u^2}+n\lambda_+(\varphi)\\ \leq&-\frac{\nu}{16}+n\lambda_+(\varphi)\leq 0;\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Case 2: $q\in\Omega^*_{d_0}\setminus\Omega^*_{\frac{d_0}{\kappa}}$.
Combining Lemma 3.4 and the assumption in this theorem, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\det g_{ij}\leq&1+\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^m|Du^\alpha|\right)^2\leq 1+\left(|Du^m|+(m-1)\frac{n-1}{m-1}\right)^2\\ \leq&1+\left(|D\tilde{\phi}|+n-1\right)^2\leq 1+\left(\phi'+|D\varphi|+n-1\right)^2<4(\phi')^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\phi''}{\det g_{ij}}+n\lambda_+(\varphi)<-\frac{\phi''}{8(\phi')^2}+n\lambda_+(\varphi)=-\frac{\nu}{8}+n\lambda_+(\varphi)\leq 0. \tag{3.37}$$

Combining the definition of d_0, $\phi' \geq \frac{1}{2d_0\nu} \geq n(1+|D\varphi|)$ and $v_u^2 \leq \min\left\{2\lambda_1^2, \frac{2\kappa^2}{d_0^2\nu^2}\right\}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_-(d)\left(\frac{2}{(\phi')^2}\left(|D\varphi|^2+\frac{n-1}{\lambda_1^2}\right)+\frac{n-1}{1+\lambda_1^2}\right)-\frac{\kappa}{2(1+\kappa d)}\frac{1}{v_u^2}\\
\leq&\lambda_-(d)\left(\frac{2|D\varphi|^2}{(\phi')^2}+\frac{2(n-1)}{n^2\lambda_1^2}+\frac{n-1}{\lambda_1^2}\right)-\frac{1}{4d_0}\frac{1}{v_u^2}\\
\leq&\lambda_-(d)\left(8|D\varphi|^2d_0^2\nu^2+\frac{2n}{v_u^2}\right)-\frac{1}{4d_0}\frac{1}{v_u^2}\\
\leq&8\lambda_-(d)|D\varphi|^2d_0^2\nu^2-\left(\frac{1}{4d_0}-2n\lambda_-(d)\right)\frac{d_0^2\nu^2}{2\kappa^2}\\
=&\frac{d_0^2\nu^2}{8\kappa^2}\left(-\frac{1}{d_0}+64|D\varphi|^2\kappa^2\lambda_-(d)+8n\lambda_-(d)\right)\leq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Combining Lemma 3.10, (3.35), (3.37) and (3.38), we obtain

$$g^{ij}\tilde{\phi}_{ij} = g^{ij}\left(\phi' d_{ij}+\phi'' d_i d_j+\varphi_{ij}\right) < 0 \tag{3.39}$$

at the considered point q with $D\tilde{\phi} = Du^m$ at q. Recall

$$\phi(d) = \frac{1}{\nu}\log\left(1+\frac{\kappa}{d_0}d\right) \qquad \text{on } \Omega_{d_0}^*.$$

Then

$$\phi(d_0) = \frac{1}{\nu}\log(1+\kappa) \geq |D\varphi|_0 l \geq \sup_{x\in\Omega}\varphi(x) - \inf_{x\in\Omega}\varphi(x),$$

which implies

$$\tilde{\phi}(d_0) \geq \phi(d_0) + \inf_{x\in\Omega_{d_0}}\varphi(x) \geq \sup_{x\in\Omega}\varphi(x) \geq \sup_{x\in\Omega}u^m(x), \tag{3.40}$$

and $\inf_{\partial\Omega_{d_0}}\left(\tilde{\phi}(d(x)) - u^m(x)\right) \geq 0$. By the maximum principle for (3.39) and $g^{ij}u^m_{ij} = 0$, we obtain

$$\tilde{\phi}(d(x)) \geq u^m(x) \qquad \text{for any } x \in \Omega_{d_0}^*.$$

Analogously to the above argument, one has

$$\phi(d(x)) - \varphi(x) \geq -u^m(x) \qquad \text{for any } x \in \Omega_{d_0}^*.$$

Therefore, for any $x \in \partial\Omega$ it follows that

$$|Du^m(x)| \leq \sup_{\partial\Omega}|D\tilde{\phi}| \leq \frac{\kappa}{\nu d_0} + |D\varphi|_0, \tag{3.41}$$

and then we complete the proof. □

The above boundary gradient estimate will play a key role in the proof of the closedness of J in Theorem 5.3.

With an analogous proof, we have the following parabolic version of Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 3.6. *For a vector-valued function $\psi = (\psi^1, \cdots, \psi^m) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^m)$, let the smooth function $f = (f^1, \cdots, f^m)$ satisfy* (3.1). *Denote $\varphi = \psi^m$ and*

$$\kappa = e^{\nu|D\varphi|_0 l}$$

with $\nu = 16n(\lambda_+(\varphi)+1)$. *Let* d_0 *be the largest constant satisfying*

$$\frac{1}{d_0} \geq \max\left\{\left(64(1+|D\varphi|_0)^2\kappa^2+8n\right)\lambda_-(d), 2n\nu(1+|D\varphi|_0)\right\} \tag{3.42}$$

such that $d \in C^2\left(\overline{\Omega^*_{d_0}}\right)$ *and* $\partial\Omega_t$ *is* C^2*-embedded for* $t \in (0,d_0]$. *If* $\sup_\Omega \left|\bigwedge^2 df(\cdot,t)\right| \leq 1$ *for any* $t \in [0,T)$, *and* $|Df^\alpha| \leq \frac{n-1}{m-1}$ *on* $\Omega_{d_0/\kappa}\times[0,T)$ *for* $\alpha = 1,\cdots,m-1$, *and*

$$\sup_{\Omega\times[0,T)} \det g_{ij} \leq \frac{2\kappa^2}{d_0^2\nu^2},$$

then we have

$$\sup_{(x,t)\in\partial\Omega\times[0,T)} |Df^m(x,t)| \leq \frac{\kappa}{d_0\nu} + |D\varphi|_0. \tag{3.43}$$

The interior curvature estimates for minimal graphs can be obtained by geometric measure theory (see Theorem 4.1 in [30], for instance), and then one can get the following lemma easily by the classical Schauder interior estimates. However, our method here is quite different and the bound of the gradient of u^α is an explicit constant.

Lemma 3.7. *Let* M *be a minimal graph over* Ω *with the graphic function* $u = (u^1,\cdots,u^m)$ *satisfying* $\left|\bigwedge^2 du\right| \leq 1-\epsilon$ *on* Ω. *Then for any* $0 < s < d_0$ *there exists a constant* $C_{u,s,\gamma,\epsilon}$ *depending only on* $|u|_{C^0(\Omega)}$, n, m, s *and* ϵ *such that*

$$|Du^\alpha|_{C^0(\Omega_s)} \leq \frac{1}{m} + C_{u,s,\gamma,\epsilon}|u^\alpha|_{C^0(\Omega)} \qquad \text{for any } \alpha = 1,\cdots,m. \tag{3.44}$$

Proof. From the interior gradient estimates by Wang [31], there are absolute constants C_1, C_2 with

$$v_u(x) \triangleq \det\left(\delta_{ij} + \sum_\alpha u^\alpha_i u^\alpha_j\right)\Bigg|_x \leq C_1 e^{C_2 s^{-1}\left|\sup_{B_s(x)} u(y) - u(x)\right|} \tag{3.45}$$

for any $B_{3s}(x) \subset \Omega$. There is a constant $K_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\Delta_u \log v_u \geq K_\epsilon |A|^2, \tag{3.46}$$

where Δ_u and A are the Laplacian and the second fundamental form of $M = \text{graph}_u$, respectively. Combining (3.45) and Theorem 4.1 in [14] (see also [11][13]), for any $\delta' > 0$ there is a constant $0 < \delta < s$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\delta^{n-2}} \int_{B_\delta(x)} |A|^2 v_u^3 \leq \delta' \tag{3.47}$$

for any $B_{5s}(x) \subset \Omega$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$\frac{1}{\delta^{n-2}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \int_{B_\delta(x)} |D^2 u^\alpha|^2 \leq \delta'. \tag{3.48}$$

Let C_3 be a general absolute constant, and $C'_{u,s,\gamma,\epsilon}$ be a general constant depending only on $|u|_{C^0(\Omega)}$, n, m, s and ϵ. Since $\Delta_u u^\alpha = 0$ for each $\alpha = 1,\cdots,m$, integrating by parts implies that

$$\int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}\delta}(x)} |\nabla u^\alpha|^2 v_u \leq \frac{C_3}{\delta^2}\int_{B_\delta(x)} |u^\alpha|^2 v_u \leq C'_{u,s,\gamma,\epsilon}\delta^{n-2}|u^\alpha|^2_{C^0(\Omega)}. \tag{3.49}$$

For any $i = 1, \cdots, n$, we employ the Poincaré inequality to get

$$\int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}\delta}(x)} |u_i^\alpha(y) - u_i^\alpha(x)|^2 dy \le C_3 \delta^2 \int_{B_\delta(x)} |Du_i^\alpha|^2 \le C_3 \delta^n \delta'. \tag{3.50}$$

Let ω_n be the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |u_i^\alpha(x)| \le & \frac{2^n}{\omega_n \delta^n} \left(\int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}\delta}(x)} |u_i^\alpha(y) - u_i^\alpha(x)| dy + \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}\delta}(x)} |u_i^\alpha(y)| dy \right) \\ \le & \left(\frac{2^n}{\omega_n \delta^n} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}\delta}(x)} |u_i^\alpha(y) - u_i^\alpha(x)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{2^n}{\omega_n \delta^n} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}\delta}(x)} |Du^\alpha|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \le & C_3 \sqrt{\delta'} + C'_{u,s,\gamma,\epsilon} \frac{|u^\alpha|_{C^0(\Omega)}}{\delta}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

Choose $\delta' = m^{-2} C_3^{-2}$, and we complete the proof. □

Now we turn to the interior curvature estimates for the mean curvature flow.

Lemma 3.8. *For a vector-valued function $\psi = (\psi^1, \cdots, \psi^m) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^m)$, let $\mathcal{M}_t$ be a mean curvature flow on $[0, T)$ with the smooth graphic function $\tilde{f} = (\tilde{f}^1, \cdots, \tilde{f}^m)$ satisfying $\left| \bigwedge^2 d\tilde{f} \right| \le 1 - \epsilon$ on $\Omega \times [0, T)$ and $\tilde{f}^\alpha = \psi^\alpha$ on $\Omega \times \{0\}$ with $\alpha = 1, \cdots, m$. If $\sup_{\Omega \times [0,T)} v_{\tilde{f}}^2 \le \frac{2\kappa^2}{d_0^2 \nu^2}$ with constants κ, d_0, ν defined in Theorem 3.6, then for any $0 < s < d_0$ there exists a constant $C_{\psi,s,\epsilon}$ depending only on $|\psi|_{C^2(\Omega)}$, n, m, s and ϵ such that*

$$|D\tilde{f}^\alpha|_{C^0(\Omega_s \times [0,T))} \le C_{\psi,s,\epsilon} |\psi^\alpha|_{C^2(\Omega)} \qquad \text{for any } \alpha = 1, \cdots, m. \tag{3.52}$$

Proof. We shall prove the curvature estimates for $\mathcal{M}_t$ by contradiction. Let $\mathcal{M}_t^i$ be a mean curvature flow on $[0, T)$ with the smooth graphic function $\tilde{f}_i = (\tilde{f}_i^1, \cdots, \tilde{f}_i^m)$ satisfying $\left| \bigwedge^2 d\tilde{f}_i \right| \le 1 - \epsilon$ on $\Omega \times [0, T)$, $\max_{\alpha=1,\cdots,m} |\tilde{f}_i^\alpha|_{C^2(\Omega \times \{0\})} \le \Lambda < \infty$ for each i, and $\sup_{\Omega \times [0,T)} v_{\tilde{f}_i}^2 \le \frac{2\kappa^2}{d_0^2 \nu^2}$. From the argument of Wang in [29], there is a constant Λ'_s depending on s such that

$$\sum_\alpha \int_{\Omega_s \times [0,T)} |D^2 f_i^\alpha|^2 \le \Lambda'_s. \tag{3.53}$$

Choosing a subsequence, we assume that $\mathcal{M}_t^i$ converges to a weak mean curvature flow $\mathcal{M}_t^*$ on $[0, T)$ with the Lipschitz graphic function $f_* = (f_*^1, \cdots, f_*^m)$. Moreover, $\left| \bigwedge^2 df_* \right| \le 1 - \epsilon$ on $\Omega \times [0, T)$, $\max_{\alpha=1,\cdots,m} |f_*^\alpha|_{C^2(\Omega \times \{0\})} \le \Lambda$, $\sup_{\Omega \times [0,T)} v_{f_*}^2 \le \frac{2\kappa^2}{d_0^2 \nu^2}$, and

$$\sum_\alpha \int_{\Omega_s \times [0,T)} |D^2 f_*^\alpha|^2 \le \Lambda'_s \tag{3.54}$$

from Fatou lemma. If $(x_*, t_*) \in \Omega_s \times (0, T)$ is a singular point of $\mathcal{M}_{t_*}^*$, then we blow the flow up at (x_*, t_*) and (by Huisken's monotonicity formula) get graphic self-shrinking solutions of the mean curvature flow, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_t^*$ on $(-\infty, 0)$ with the Lipschitz graphic function of each slice, $\tilde{f}_* = (\tilde{f}_*^1, \cdots, \tilde{f}_*^m)$ satisfying $\left| \bigwedge^2 df_* \right| \le 1 - \epsilon$ on $\Omega \times (-\infty, 0)$. Analog to the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [30], we conclude that $\tilde{f}_*$ is smooth, and then $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_t^*$ is an n-plane for each t from [6]. Therefore, (x_*, t_*) is not a singularity, and we get the curvature estimates

for the mean curvature flow. Namely, for any $0 < s < d_0$ there exists a constant $C_{\psi,s,\epsilon}$ depending only on $|\psi|_{C^2(\Omega)}$, n, m, s and ϵ such that

$$|D^2 \tilde{f}^\alpha|_{C^0(\Omega_s \times [0,T))} \leq C_{\psi,s,\epsilon} \qquad \text{for any } \alpha = 1, \cdots, m. \tag{3.55}$$

With the standard parabolic Schauder interior estimates, we complete the proof. □

4. The Dirichlet problem on mean convex domains

Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n$ be the singular values of a matrix $\{u_i^\alpha\}_{1\leq i\leq n,\ 1\leq \alpha\leq m}$ ($\lambda_j = 0$ if $\min\{m,n\} < j \leq n$). Let us first prove an algebraic lemma that will be needed in the sequel.

Lemma 4.1. *If $|Du^1|^2 \cdot \sum_{\alpha=2}^m |Du^\alpha|^2 \leq K^2$ for some constant $K > 0$, and $\sum_{\alpha=2}^m |Du^\alpha|^2 \leq K$, then $|\lambda_i \lambda_j| \leq 2K$ for all $i \neq j$.*

Proof. By scaling, we only need prove this lemma for $K = 1$. For any considered point x, we choose an orthonormal coordinate system in its neighborhood such that $D_1 u^1 = |Du^1|$ at x. Now we assume that $D_1 u^1 = t$ for some constant $t > 1$. Then by the assumption of the lemma, it follows that

$$\sum_{\alpha=2}^m |Du^\alpha|^2 \leq \frac{1}{t^2}.$$

For any $\xi = (\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{S}^n$, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha,i,j} u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha \xi_i \xi_j = u_1^1 u_1^1 \xi_1 \xi_1 + \sum_{\alpha\geq 2, i, j} u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha \xi_i \xi_j \leq t^2 \xi_1^2 + \frac{1}{t^2}. \tag{4.1}$$

By a rearrangement, we can assume that λ_1^2 is the maximal eigenvalue of the matrix $\left(\sum_\alpha u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha\right)_{n\times n}$. Then we have

$$t^2 \leq \lambda_1^2 \leq t^2 + \frac{1}{t^2}.$$

If $\xi = (\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{S}^n$ is the eigenfunction of the matrix $\left(\sum_\alpha u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha\right)_{n\times n}$ with respect to the eigenvalue λ_1^2, then from (4.1) it follows that

$$t^2 \leq \lambda_1^2 \leq t^2 \xi_1^2 + \frac{1}{t^2},$$

which implies

$$\xi_1^2 \geq 1 - \frac{1}{t^4}.$$

For any $\eta = (\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_n) \in \mathbb{S}^n$ with $\xi \perp \eta = 0$, one has

$$\xi_1^2 \eta_1^2 = \left(\sum_{i\geq 2} \xi_i \eta_i\right)^2 \leq \sum_{i\geq 2} \xi_i^2 \sum_{i\geq 2} \eta_i^2 = (1 - \xi_1^2)(1 - \eta_1^2), \tag{4.2}$$

which implies

$$\eta_1^2 \leq 1 - \xi_1^2 \leq \frac{1}{t^4}. \tag{4.3}$$

If $\eta=(\eta_1,\cdots,\eta_n)\in\mathbb{S}^n$ is the eigenfunction of $\left(\sum_\alpha u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha\right)_{n\times n}$ with respect to the second eigenvalue λ_2^2, then combining $D_1u^1=|Du^1|=t$ and $\sum_{\alpha=2}^m|Du^\alpha|^2\le\frac{1}{t^2}$ one has

$$\lambda_2^2=\sum_{\alpha,i,j}u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha\eta_i\eta_j=t^2\eta_1^2+\sum_{\alpha\ge2,i,j}u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha\eta_i\eta_j\le t^2\eta_1^2+\frac{1}{t^2}\le\frac{2}{t^2}. \tag{4.4}$$

So we obtain

$$\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2\le\left(t^2+\frac{1}{t^2}\right)\frac{2}{t^2}=2+\frac{2}{t^4}\le4. \tag{4.5}$$

If $D_1u^1\le1$, then $\lambda_1^2\le2$ clearly. In all, we always have

$$|\lambda_1\lambda_2|\le2.$$

Hence, we complete the proof of Lemma 4.1. □

Let us recall Lemma 5.3 in [28].

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f=(f^1,\cdots,f^m)$ be the smooth function on $\Omega\times[0,T)$ satisfying (3.1). Let λ_i be the singular values of f_i^α. If $\sup_{\Omega\times[0,T)}\left|\bigwedge^2 df\right|<1$, then*

$$\frac{1-\lambda_1^2}{1+\lambda_1^2}+\frac{1-\lambda_2^2}{1+\lambda_2^2}=\frac{2(1-\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2)}{(1+\lambda_1^2)(1+\lambda_2^2)}$$

achieves its minimum on $\partial\Omega\times[0,T)$ or $\overline{\Omega}\times\{0\}$.

By Proposition 2.2 in [30], let $\widetilde{F}$ be of the form

$$\widetilde{F}(x_1,\cdots,x_n)=(x_1,\cdots,x_n,f^1(x,t),\cdots,f^m(x,t))$$

with $x=(x_1,\cdots,x_n)$ and $f=(f^1,\cdots,f^m)$ satisfying (3.1), then $\widetilde{F}=Id\times f$ satisfies

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\widetilde{F}_t(x)\right)^N=\widetilde{H}(x,t) \tag{4.6}$$

rather than the mean curvature flow system, where $(\cdots)^N$ denotes the projection onto its normal bundle, and $\widetilde{H}$ is the mean curvature of graph_f. However, the family of submanifolds $\widetilde{F}_t(\Omega)$ moves by mean curvature flow.

With the mean curvature flow, we can now obtain our main result.

Theorem 4.3. *For any mean convex bounded C^2 domain Ω and any $\varphi\in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$, there are constants ϵ_1,ϵ_2 depending on the geometry of Ω and $|\varphi|_{C^2}$ such that if the functions $\psi^1,\cdots,\psi^{m-1}$ satisfy*

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_1}\sup_\Omega|D^2\psi^\alpha|+\sup_\Omega|D\psi^\alpha|\right)^2\le\epsilon_2, \tag{4.7}$$

then there is a smooth solution $u=(u^1,\cdots,u^m)$ with $\sup_\Omega\left|\bigwedge^2 du\right|<1$ of the minimal surface system

$$\begin{cases} g^{ij}u_{ij}^\alpha=0 & \text{in }\Omega\\ u^\alpha=\psi^\alpha & \text{on }\partial\Omega\end{cases}\qquad\text{for }\alpha=1,\cdots,m, \tag{4.8}$$

with $g_{ij}=\delta_{ij}+\sum_\alpha u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha$ and $\psi^m=\varphi$.

Proof. We consider the following flow

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial f^\alpha}{dt} = g^{ij} f^\alpha_{ij} & \text{in } \Omega \\ f^\alpha(\cdot,0) = \psi^\alpha & \text{in } \Omega \\ f^\alpha(\cdot,t) = \psi^\alpha & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \qquad \text{for } \alpha = 1, \cdots, m. \tag{4.9}$$

According to [30] or [18], the flow has short-time existence on $[0,T)$. Let $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n$ be the singular values of the matrix f^α_i at each point in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T)$. Denote

$$\Theta_f = \frac{1-\lambda_1^2}{1+\lambda_1^2} + \frac{1-\lambda_2^2}{1+\lambda_2^2} = \frac{2(1-\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2)}{(1+\lambda_1^2)(1+\lambda_2^2)} \qquad \text{on } \overline{\Omega} \times [0,T).$$

From Lemma 3.8, there are small positive constants $\epsilon_1 \le 1$ and $\epsilon_2 \le \frac{1}{m-1}\left(\frac{d_0\nu}{5\kappa}\right)^2$ in (4.7) such that $|Df^\alpha| \le \frac{n-1}{m-1}$ on $\Omega_{d_0/\kappa} \times [0,T)$ for $\alpha = 1, \cdots, m-1$, where κ, d_0, ν are the same as in Theorem 3.6. By Lemma 4.1 it is clear that $\sup_\Omega \Theta_f(\cdot,0) > \Psi^{-1}$ with $\Psi = \frac{2\kappa^2}{d_0^2\nu^2}$. By Lemma 3.4, one has

$$\det\left(\delta_{ij} + \sum_\alpha f^\alpha_i f^\alpha_j\right) \le 1 + \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^m |Df^\alpha|\right)^2. \tag{4.10}$$

Let $n^1_f, \cdots, n^m_f$ be the local orthonormal normal vector fields of graph_f in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$, and $E_1, \cdots, E_{n+m}$ be a standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$. Let's recall the definition of v_f, which can be seen as a function on $\mathrm{graph}_{f(\cdot,t)} \times [0,T)$ by

$$v_f^{-1} = \left\langle n^1_f \bigwedge \cdots \bigwedge n^m_f, E_{n+1} \bigwedge \cdots \bigwedge E_{n+m} \right\rangle.$$

Then it is clear that $v_f^2(\mathbf{x},t) = \det\left(\delta_{ij} + \sum_\alpha f^\alpha_i f^\alpha_j\right)$ at $\mathbf{x} = (x, f(x)) \in \mathrm{graph}_{f(\cdot,t)}$, and $\sup_\Omega v_f^2(\cdot,0) < \Psi$. We assume that there is a time $t_0 > 0$ such that

i) $\sup_\Omega \Theta_f(\cdot,t_0) = \Psi^{-1}$ or $\sup v_f^2(\cdot,t_0) = \Psi$;

ii) $\Theta_f(x,t) > \Psi^{-1}$ and $v_f^2(\mathbf{x},t) < \Psi$ for all $(x,t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0,t_0)$ with $\mathbf{x} = (x, f(x))$.

Note that $\mathrm{graph}_{f(\cdot,t)} = \{(x, f(x,t)) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m |\ x \in \Omega\}$ moves by mean curvature. From $\Theta_f > \Psi^{-1}$, one can easily get $\lambda_1\lambda_2 \le \sqrt{\frac{2}{2+\Psi^{-1}}} < \frac{2\Psi}{2\Psi+1}$. Then by equation (3.8) of [29], we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_f\right) v_f = -v_f \left(|A_f|^2 + \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i\lambda_j \left(h^i_{ik}h^j_{jk} + h^j_{ik}h^i_{jk}\right)\right), \tag{4.11}$$

where h^α_{ij} are the components of the second fundamental form defined by $h^\alpha_{ij} = \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e^f_i} e^f_j, n^\alpha_f \rangle$ with $\{e^f_i\}$ being a tangent basis at the considered point in $\mathrm{graph}_{f(\cdot,t)} \times [0,T)$, and $\overline{\nabla}$ being the Levi-Civita connection of $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$. Combining (4.11) and $\lambda_i\lambda_j < \frac{2\Psi}{2\Psi+1}$ for $i \ne j$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_f\right) v_f \le -\frac{v_f}{2\Psi+1}|A_f|^2, \tag{4.12}$$

where Δ_f and A_f are the Laplacian and the second fundamental form of graph_f, respectively. We assume that $\epsilon_1 \le \frac{\Psi}{nl} e^{1+nlr_\Omega^{-1}\Psi^{-1}}$, where l, r_Ω are defined in Lemma 3.1.

Combining Lemma 3.1 and $\epsilon_2 \leq \frac{1}{m-1}\left(\frac{d_0\nu}{5\kappa}\right)^2$, it follows that

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq t_0}\left(\sup_{\partial\Omega}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}|Df^\alpha|^2\right)\leq \epsilon_2 \leq \frac{1}{m-1}\left(\frac{d_0\nu}{5\kappa}\right)^2. \tag{4.13}$$

By Theorem 3.6, one has

$$\sup_{x\in\partial\Omega, t\in[0,t_0]}|Df^m(x,t)| < \frac{\kappa}{d_0\nu}+|D\varphi|_0. \tag{4.14}$$

$\kappa\geq 1$ and $\frac{1}{d_0\nu}\geq 2n(|D\varphi|_0+1)$ imply $\frac{\kappa}{d_0\nu}+|D\varphi|_0\leq\frac{(2n+1)\kappa}{2nd_0\nu}$. Combining Lemma 4.1, we obtain $\lambda_1\lambda_2\leq\frac{1}{2}$ on $\partial\Omega\times[0,t_0]$ and then $\sup_{\partial\Omega\times[0,t_0]}\Theta_f>\Psi^{-1}$. From Lemma 3.4, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{\partial\Omega\times[0,t_0]} v_f^2((x,f(x,t)),t)\leq 1+\sup_{\partial\Omega\times[0,t_0]}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^m|Df^\alpha|\right)^2\\
\leq& 1+\sup_{\partial\Omega\times[0,t_0]}\left(|Df^m|+\sqrt{(m-1)\sum_{\alpha=1}^m|Df^\alpha|^2}\right)^2\\
\leq& 1+\left(\frac{\kappa}{d_0\nu}+|D\varphi|_0+1\right)^2<\Psi.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Therefore by Lemma 4.2 and the maximum principle for (4.12), we conclude that such t_0 does not exist. Namely, the flow does not have any finite time singularity and has long-time existence. The remaining argument is similar to [30]. The flow shall converge to a minimal graph with the desired boundary data. We complete the proof. □

The above existence result extends the sharp criterion of Jenkins and Serrin for solvability of the minimal surface equation.

Lemma 4.4. *Let S_i^α be an $(n\times m)$-matrix with singular values $s_1\geq\cdots\geq s_n\geq 0$ and $n\geq 2$. If $\prod_{i=1}^n(1+s_i^2)\leq 9$, then*

$$\det\left(\delta_{ij}+\sum_\alpha S_i^\alpha S_j^\alpha\right)\leq 1+\left(\sum_\alpha\left(\sum_i(S_i^\alpha)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2. \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. We shall prove this lemma by induction. Clearly, the inequality (4.16) holds for $m=1$. Put $|S^\alpha|=\sqrt{\sum_i(S_i^\alpha)^2}$. If (4.16) holds for $m-1$ with $m\geq 2$ and the left hand side of (4.16) is no more than 9, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\det\left(\delta_{ij}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^m S_i^\alpha S_j^\alpha\right)=&\det\left(\delta_{ij}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}S_i^\alpha S_j^\alpha+S_i^m S_j^m\right)\\
\leq&\left(1+\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}|S^\alpha|\right)^2\right)\left(1+|S^m|^2\right)\\
=&1+\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}|S^\alpha|\right)^2+|S^m|^2+\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1}|S^\alpha|\right)^2|S^m|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Note that $\sum_{\alpha=1}^m |S^\alpha| \le 2\sqrt{2}$, or else (4.16) holds by the assumption $\prod_{i=1}^n (1+s_i^2) \le 9$. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1} |S^\alpha| \cdot |S^m| \le \frac{1}{4}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1} |S^\alpha| + |S^m|\right)^2 \le \frac{1}{4} \times 8 = 2.$$

Substituting the above inequality into (4.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \det\left(\delta_{ij} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^m S_i^\alpha S_j^\alpha\right) \le & 1 + \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1} |S^\alpha|\right)^2 + |S^m|^2 + 2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-1} |S^\alpha| \cdot |S^m| \\ = & 1 + \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} |S^\alpha|\right)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

which suffices to complete the proof. □

Theorem 4.5. *For any convex bounded C^2 domain Ω, let $\psi^1, \cdots, \psi^m$ be the functions on $\overline{\Omega}$ with*

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^m \left(enl\beta_0 \sup_\Omega |D^2\psi^\alpha| + \sup_\Omega |D\psi^\alpha|\right) < \sqrt{\beta_0 - 1} \tag{4.19}$$

for each constant $1 < \beta_0 \le 9$. Then there is a smooth solution $u = (u^1, \cdots, u^m)$ with $\sup_\Omega \det\left(\delta_{ij} + u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha\right) < \beta_0$ to the minimal surface system (4.8) with $u = \psi$ on $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. We consider the following flow

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial f^\alpha}{dt} = g^{ij} f_{ij}^\alpha & \text{in } \Omega \\ f^\alpha(\cdot, 0) = \psi^\alpha & \text{in } \Omega \\ f^\alpha(\cdot, t) = \psi^\alpha & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \qquad \text{for } \alpha = 1, \cdots, m, \tag{4.20}$$

where (g^{ij}) is the inverse matrix of $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha$. According to [30] or [18], the flow has short-time existence on $[0, T)$. As Theorem 4.3, let $n_f^1, \cdots, n_f^m$ be the local orthonormal normal vector fields of graph_f in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$, and $E_1, \cdots, E_{n+m}$ be a standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$. We can see v_f being a function on $\mathrm{graph}_{f(\cdot,t)} \times [0, T)$ defined by

$$v_f^{-1} = \left\langle n_f^1 \bigwedge \cdots \bigwedge n_f^m, E_{n+1} \bigwedge \cdots \bigwedge E_{n+m} \right\rangle.$$

Then it is clear that $v_f^2(\mathbf{x}, t) = \det\left(\delta_{ij} + \sum_\alpha f_i^\alpha f_j^\alpha\right)$ at each $\mathbf{x} = (x, f(x)) \in \mathrm{graph}_{f(\cdot,t)}$. We assume that there is a time $t_0 > 0$ such that $\sup_{x\in\Omega} v_f^2((x, f(x)), t) < \beta_0$ for $t \in (0, t_0)$ and $\sup_{x\in\Omega} v_f^2((x, f(x)), t_0) = \beta_0$.

Note that the right hand side of (4.11) is the same as the right hand side of (3.7) in [14], whose estimates (3.13), (3.16), Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 in [14] are still valid (Those estimates are derived algebraically without using the minimal submanifold equation). Therefore, for $t \in [0, T)$ one has

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_f\right) v_f \le 0, \tag{4.21}$$

where Δ_f is the Laplacian of graph_f. Since Ω is convex, we can allow $r_\Omega \to \infty$ in Lemma 3.1. By (4.19) and $v_f^2 = \det\left(\delta_{ij} + \sum_\alpha f_i^\alpha f_j^\alpha\right) \le \beta_0$ on $\Omega \times [0, t_0]$, from Lemma 3.1 we

obtain

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \sup_{\partial\Omega\times[0,t_0]} |Df^\alpha| < \sqrt{\beta_0 - 1}. \tag{4.22}$$

Recalling Lemma 4.4, one has $\sup_{\partial\Omega\times[0,t_0]} v_f^2 < \beta_0$. From the parabolic maximum principle, it follows that $\sup_{\Omega\times[0,t_0]} v_f^2 < \beta_0$, which is a contradiction to $\sup_{x\in\Omega} v_f^2((x,f(x)),t_0) = \beta_0$. Hence t_0 does not exist, namely, the flow does not have any finite time singularity and has long-time existence. The remaining argument is similar to [30]. The flow shall converge to a minimal graph with the graphic function $u = (u^1, \cdots, u^m)$ and the desired boundary data. It is clear that $\sup_\Omega \det\left(\delta_{ij} + u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha\right) \le \beta_0$. Combining (4.19) and Lemma 3.2, it follows that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \sup_{\partial\Omega} |Du^\alpha| < \sqrt{\beta_0 - 1}. \tag{4.23}$$

Let Δ_u denote the Laplacian of graph_u. Using Lemma 4.4 and the maximum principle for $\Delta_u \det\left(\delta_{ij} + u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha\right) \ge 0$, we obtain $\sup_\Omega \det\left(\delta_{ij} + u_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha\right) < \beta_0$ and complete the proof. □

5. The Dirichlet problem by the method of continuity

Let M be an n-dimensional smooth minimal graph over a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ with the graphic vector-valued function $u = (u^1, \cdots, u^m)$. For functions $\phi^\alpha \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\alpha = 1, \cdots, m$, and a constant $\epsilon > 0$, denote M_s being a graph over Ω with the graphic vector-valued function $(u_s^1, \cdots, u_s^m) = (u^1 + s\phi^1, \cdots, u^m + s\phi^m)$ for $|s| < \epsilon$. Let g_{ij}^s be the metric tensor of M_s on Ω defined by

$$g_{ij}^s = \delta_{ij} + \sum_\alpha \partial_{x_i} u_s^\alpha \partial_{x_j} u_s^\alpha.$$

Let (g_s^{ij}) be the inverse matrix of (g_{ij}^s). When $s = 0$, we will omit the index s for convenience. Let Δ_{u_s} be the Laplacian of $M_s = \text{graph}_{u_s}$. Then

$$\Delta_{u_s} u_s^\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g_{kl}^s}} \partial_{x_i}\left(g_s^{ij}\sqrt{\det g_{kl}^s}\partial_{x_j} u_s^\alpha\right). \tag{5.1}$$

Set a linear differential operator L of the second order by

$$L\phi^\alpha = \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} \Delta_{u_s} u_s^\alpha. \tag{5.2}$$

Put $L\phi = (L\phi^\alpha, \cdots, L\phi^m)$. Integrating by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega \langle \phi, L\phi\rangle \sqrt{\det g_{kl}} &= \int_\Omega \phi^\alpha \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g_{kl}^s}} \partial_{x_i}\left(g_s^{ij}\sqrt{\det g_{kl}^s}\partial_{x_j} u_s^\alpha\right)\right) \sqrt{\det g_{kl}} \\
&= \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} \int_\Omega \phi^\alpha \partial_{x_i}\left(g_s^{ij}\sqrt{\det g_{kl}^s}\partial_{x_j} u_s^\alpha\right) \frac{\sqrt{\det g_{kl}}}{\sqrt{\det g_{kl}^s}} \\
&= \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} \int_\Omega \partial_{x_i}\left(\phi^\alpha g_s^{ij}\sqrt{\det g_{kl}}\partial_{x_j} u_s^\alpha\right) \\
&\quad - \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} \int_\Omega \partial_{x_i}\left(\phi^\alpha \frac{\sqrt{\det g_{kl}}}{\sqrt{\det g_{kl}^s}}\right) g_s^{ij}\sqrt{\det g_{kl}^s}\partial_{x_j} u_s^\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

The last term in the above formula is equal to

(5.4)
$$\begin{aligned}&-\int_\Omega \partial_{x_i}\left(\phi^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0}\frac{\sqrt{\det g_{kl}}}{\sqrt{\det g^s_{kl}}}\right) g^{ij}\sqrt{\det g_{kl}}\partial_{x_j}u^\alpha - \int_\Omega \partial_{x_i}\phi^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0}\left(g_s^{ij}\sqrt{\det g^s_{kl}}\partial_{x_j}u^\alpha_s\right)\\ &=\int_\Omega \partial_{x_i}\left(\phi^\alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0}\sqrt{\det g^s_{kl}}\right)g^{ij}\partial_{x_j}u^\alpha\right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0}\int_\Omega g_s^{ij}\phi_i^\alpha\partial_{x_j}u_s^\alpha\sqrt{\det g^s_{kl}}.\end{aligned}$$

Assume $\phi^\alpha \in C_c^2(\Omega)$. Employing the divergence formula in (5.3) and (5.4), we have

$$-\int_\Omega \langle \phi, L\phi\rangle\sqrt{\det g_{kl}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0}\int_\Omega g_s^{ij}\phi_i^\alpha\partial_{x_j}u_s^\alpha\sqrt{\det g^s_{kl}} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\Big|_{s=0}\int_\Omega\sqrt{\det g^s_{kl}}. \tag{5.5}$$

A direct computation implies that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\Big|_{s=0}\sqrt{\det g^s_{kl}} = g^{ij}\phi_i^\alpha\phi_j^\alpha + \phi_i^\alpha u_j^\alpha\left(-2g^{ik}g^{lj}u_k^\beta\phi_l^\beta + g^{ij}g^{kl}u_k^\beta\phi_l^\beta\right). \tag{5.6}$$

Let λ_i be the singular values of the matrix $\{u_j^\alpha\}_{1\le j\le n, 1\le\alpha\le m}$, then $g_{ij} = \frac{1}{1+\lambda_i^2}\delta_{ij}$, and

(5.7)
$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\Big|_{s=0}\sqrt{\det g^s_{kl}} = \left(\sum_{i,\alpha}\frac{(\phi_i^\alpha)^2}{1+\lambda_i^2} + \sum_{i,j}\frac{\lambda_i\lambda_j}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_j^2)}(-2\phi_i^j\phi_j^i + \phi_i^i\phi_j^j)\right)\sqrt{\det g_{kl}}.$$

Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection of M with the induced metric from $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$. If

$$\sup_\Omega\big|\bigwedge^2 du\big| \le \delta \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{n,m\}-1}}, \tag{5.8}$$

then by the proof in [16] ([17]), there is a positive constant $C_\delta \ge 0$ $\Big(C_\delta = 0$ only for $\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\min\{n,m\}-1}}\Big)$ such that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\Big|_{s=0}\sqrt{\det g^s_{kl}} \ge C_\delta\sum_{i,\alpha}\frac{(\phi_i^\alpha)^2}{1+\lambda_i^2}\sqrt{\det g_{kl}} = C_\delta|\nabla\phi|^2\sqrt{\det g_{kl}}. \tag{5.9}$$

Let $d\mu = \sqrt{\det g_{kl}}dx$ denote the volume element of M, then

$$-\int_\Omega\langle\phi, L\phi\rangle d\mu \ge C_\delta\int_\Omega|\nabla\phi|^2 d\mu \tag{5.10}$$

for any non-zero vector-valued function $\phi = (\phi^1, \cdots, \phi^m) \in C_c^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$.

Suppose that M_ϵ is a minimal graph, then

$$0 = \Delta_{u_\epsilon}u_\epsilon^\alpha = g_\epsilon^{ij}\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j}u_\epsilon^\alpha + \left(-2g_\epsilon^{ik}g_\epsilon^{lj} + g_\epsilon^{ij}g_\epsilon^{kl}\right)\partial_{x_j}u_\epsilon^\alpha\partial_{x_k}u_\epsilon^\beta\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_l}u_\epsilon^\beta. \tag{5.11}$$

By Taylor expansion,

$$g_\epsilon^{ij} - g^{ij} = \epsilon\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0}g_s^{ij} + \epsilon^2 P_{\epsilon,u,\phi}, \tag{5.12}$$

where $P_{\epsilon,u,\phi}$ depends only on $\epsilon, u, \nabla u$ and $\phi, \nabla\phi$ such that

$$|P_{\epsilon,u,\phi}| \le C(1+|Du|^2+|D\phi|^2)|D\phi|^2$$

for some absolute constant $C > 0$. Combining (5.2) and (5.11), there is a function $Q^{\alpha}_{s,u,\phi}$ depending only on s, u, Du, D^2u and $\phi, D\phi, D^2\phi$ such that

$$L\phi^{\alpha} + sQ^{\alpha}_{s,u,\phi} = 0, \tag{5.13}$$

and

$$|Q^{\alpha}_{s,u,\phi}| \leq C|D\phi|^2(1 + |Du|^2 + |D\phi|^2)\left(|D^2u| + s|D^2\phi|\right). \tag{5.14}$$

Put $L\phi = (L\phi^1, \cdots, L\phi^m)$ and $Q_{s,u,\phi} = (Q^1_{s,u,\phi}, \cdots, Q^m_{s,u,\phi})$. Let λ_* be the first eigenvalue of $-L$ for vectors vanishing on the boundary ∂M, namely,

$$\lambda_* = \inf_{\xi \in W^{2,2}_0(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m), \xi \neq 0} \frac{\int_\Omega \langle \xi, -L\xi \rangle d\mu}{\int_\Omega \langle \xi, \xi \rangle d\mu}. \tag{5.15}$$

Here, $W^{2,2}_0(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ denotes the closure of $C^2_0(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ in $W^{2,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$. From (5.10) it is clear that L is a compact and self-adjoint operator from $W^{2,2}_0(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ to $L^2_0(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$, and $\lambda_* \geq 0$. Furthermore, L is an elliptic operator if $\lambda_* > 0$. Then there is a smooth vector-valued function $\xi_* \in C^\infty_0(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $\int_M \langle \xi_*, \xi_* \rangle = 1$ such that

$$L\xi_* + \lambda_* \xi_* = 0. \tag{5.16}$$

Now in the rest of this section, for convenience we use $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ to denote $W^{2,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with the weight $d\mu$, and $L^p(\Omega)$ to denote $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with the weight $d\mu$, and so on.

We call a minimal submanifold M *(strictly) stable* if the second derivative of the volume functional of M with respect to any compact supported normal variational field is (positive) nonnegative. From (5.5), it is clear that M is strictly stable if $\lambda_* > 0$, and stable if $\lambda_* \geq 0$.

Now we shall use the Schauder fixed point theorem to show the existence of minimal graphs in a neighborhood of a strictly stable minimal graph.

Lemma 5.1. *Let M be an n-dimensional smooth strictly stable minimal graph over a bounded C^2 domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ with the graphic function $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^m)$. There exists a constant $\epsilon_M > 0$ such that for any $\psi = (\psi^1, \cdots, \psi^m) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $|\psi|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq 1$ for $p > n$, and $|s| \leq \epsilon_M$, there is a vector-valued function $\phi = (\phi^1, \cdots, \phi^m) \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $\phi = \psi$ on $\partial\Omega$ so that the graph of $u + s\phi$ is minimal.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{S}_t = \left\{\xi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^m) \middle| |\xi|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq t\right\}$, which is a compact convex set for any $t > 0$. For any $\xi \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ and each sufficiently small $s > 0$, the operator $T_{s,\psi}$ is defined by letting $\eta = T_{s,\psi}\xi$ be the unique solution in $W^{2,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ of the following linear Dirichlet problem,

$$\begin{cases} L\eta + sQ_{s,u,\xi} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \eta = \psi & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}, \tag{5.17}$$

where the uniqueness comes from the ellipticity of L, the existence comes from a Fredholm alternative, and the regularity is obtained by $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ estimates for elliptic equations.

Put $\zeta = \eta - \psi$, then

$$L\zeta + sQ_{s,u,\xi} = -L\psi \tag{5.18}$$

and $\zeta \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ by the regularity of elliptic equations. Here, $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ denotes the closure of $C^1_0(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Analogously to the proof of Theorem 9.14 in [9], there

are constants C_0, σ_0 depending only on p, n, m and the geometry of M and ∂M such that

$$|\phi|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C_0 |L\phi - \sigma_0 \phi|_{L^p(\Omega)} \tag{5.19}$$

for each vector-valued function $\phi \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Now we claim that there is a constant $C_{M,p}$ depending only on p, n, m and the geometry of M and ∂M such that

$$|\zeta|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{M,p} |L\zeta|_{L^p(\Omega)}. \tag{5.20}$$

Or else, there is a sequence of vector-valued functions $\zeta_k \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $|\zeta_k|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1$ such that

$$|\zeta_k|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} > k |L\zeta_k|_{L^p(\Omega)}. \tag{5.21}$$

Combining this with (5.19), $|\zeta_k|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C_0 \sigma_0 |\zeta_k|_{L^p(\Omega)} = C_0 \sigma_0$.

By the Banach-Alaoglu theorem and the compactness of the imbedding $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \to L^p(\Omega)$, there exists ζ_* such that $\zeta_k \rightharpoonup \zeta_*$ in $W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with

$$|\zeta_*|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \lim_{k\to\infty} |\zeta_k|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1.$$

Moreover,

$$|\zeta_*|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} |\zeta_k|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C_0 \sigma_0 \tag{5.22}$$

and

$$|L\zeta_*|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} |L\zeta_k|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{k} \liminf_{k\to\infty} |\zeta_k|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} = 0. \tag{5.23}$$

$|\zeta_*|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq 1$ implies that $|\zeta_*|_{C^{1,\alpha_p}(\Omega)}$ is bounded with $\alpha_p = 1 - \frac{n}{p}$ by the Sobolev embedding theorem. Since M is strictly stable and L is an elliptic operator, then $L\zeta_* = 0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ and $\zeta_* = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ imply $|\zeta_*|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} = 0$. Hence $|\nabla \zeta_*| \equiv 0$, which contradicts $|\zeta_*|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1$. Hence we prove the claim (5.20).

Note that $|\xi|_{C^1(\Omega)}$ is bounded by the Sobolev embedding theorem. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{s,\psi}\xi|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} = |\zeta|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq & C_{M,p} \left| sQ_{s,u,\xi} + L\psi \right|_{L^p(\Omega)} \\ \leq & C_{M,p} \left(s|\xi|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} + |\psi|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

Put $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3C_{M,p}}$, then

$$|T_{s,\Psi}\xi|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{3}|\xi|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{3} \qquad \text{for any } \ |s| \leq \epsilon,\ \xi \in \mathfrak{S}_1 \ \text{ and } \psi \in \mathfrak{S}_\epsilon. \tag{5.25}$$

Obviously, $T_{s,\psi}$ is a continuous mapping of $\mathfrak{S}_1$ into $\mathfrak{S}_1$. Hence by the Schauder fixed point theorem (see also [9]), there is a fixed point $\phi_s \in \mathfrak{S}_\epsilon$ for the operator $T_{s,\psi}$. Namely,

$$\phi_s = T_{s,\psi}\phi_s.$$

By the interior regularity of Morrey [20, 21], the graph of $u + s\phi_s$ is a smooth minimal submanifold for all $|s| \leq \epsilon_M$ and $\psi \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ with $\epsilon_M = \epsilon^2$. For boundary regularity, see [2] for instance. □

From the proof of Lemma 5.3 in [28], we also get the following lemma (Minimal graphs can been seen as static mean curvature flows, so the computation in Lemma 5.3 in [28] can be adapted to the case of minimal graphs).

Lemma 5.2. *Let M be a minimal graph over Ω with the graphic function $u = (u^1, \cdots, u^m) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Let λ_i be the singular values of u^α_i. If $\sup_\Omega \big|\bigwedge^2 du\big| < 1$, then*

$$\frac{1-\lambda_1^2}{1+\lambda_1^2} + \frac{1-\lambda_2^2}{1+\lambda_2^2} = \frac{2(1-\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2)}{(1+\lambda_1^2)(1+\lambda_2^2)}$$

achieves its minimum on $\partial\Omega$.

Recall $l = \mathrm{diam}(\Omega)$, and that r_Ω is the uniform lower bound for the radius of exterior balls for Ω. For codimension 2, by the method of continuity we can get a family of minimal graphs as follows.

Theorem 5.3. *For any mean convex bounded C^2 domain Ω and any $\varphi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$, there are constants δ_1, δ_2 depending on the geometry of Ω and $|\varphi|_{C^2}$ such that if a function ψ satisfies*

$$\frac{1}{\delta_1}\sup_\Omega |D^2\psi| + \sup_\Omega |D\psi| \le \delta_2, \tag{5.26}$$

then there is a family of smooth solutions $u_t = (u_t^1, u_t^2)$ for $t \in [0,1]$ with $\sup_\Omega \big|\bigwedge^2 du_t\big| < 1$ and $\lim_{t\to t'} \sup_\Omega |u_t - u_{t'}| = 0$ to the minimal surface system

$$\begin{cases} g^{ij}\partial_{ij} u_t^\alpha = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_t^1 = t\psi,\ u_t^2 = t\varphi & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \qquad \text{for } \alpha = 1, \cdots, m, \tag{5.27}$$

with $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \sum_\alpha \partial_i u_t^\alpha \partial_j u_t^\alpha$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, there are small positive constants $\delta_1 \le 1$ and $\delta_2 \le \frac{d_0\nu}{5\kappa}$ in (5.26) such that $|Du_t^1| \le n-1$ on $\Omega_{d_0/\kappa}$ provided (u_t^1, u_t^2) is a smooth solution to (5.27) for some $t \in (0,1]$, where κ, d_0, ν are the same as in Theorem 3.5.

Let J be the subset of $[0,1]$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} J \triangleq \Big\{ t \in [0,1] \Big| &\text{there is a smooth solution } u_t \text{ to (5.27) with} \\ &\lim_{t>t'\to t} \sup_\Omega |u_t - u_{t'}| = 0 \ \text{ so that } \sup_\Omega \Big|\bigwedge^2 du_t\Big| < 1 \text{ and } v^2_{u_t} < \Psi \Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

where $\Psi = \frac{2\kappa^2}{d_0^2\nu^2}$. Furthermore, we assume $\delta_1 \le \frac{\Psi}{nl} e^{1+nlr_\Omega^{-1}\Psi^{-1}}$. Clearly, $0 \in J$. For any $s \in J$, graph_{u_s} is strictly stable by the proof in [16] and [17]. By Lemma 5.1, J is open in $[0,1]$. For showing $J = [0,1]$, we only need to prove the closedness of J.

Let t_i be a sequence in J such that $t_{i+1} > t_i$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty} t_i \to t_* < 1$. From Lemma 3.2 and the definitions of δ_1, δ_2, it follows that

$$\sup_{\partial\Omega} |Du_{t_i}^1| \le \delta_2 \le \frac{d_0\nu}{5\kappa}. \tag{5.29}$$

Combining $v^2_{u_{t_i}} < \Psi$ and Theorem 3.6 implies

$$\sup_{\partial\Omega} |Du_{t_i}^2| \le \frac{\kappa}{d_0\nu} + |D\varphi|_0 < \frac{5\kappa}{4d_0\nu}, \tag{5.30}$$

where we have used $\kappa \ge 1$ and $\frac{1}{d_0\nu} \ge 2n(|D\varphi|_0 + 1)$ as stated in Theorem 3.6. By Lemma 3.4, one has

$$v^2_{u_{t_i}} \le 1 + \left(|Du_{t_i}^1| + |Du_{t_i}^2|\right)^2.$$

Combining (5.29) and (5.30), we clearly have

$$\sup_{\partial\Omega} v_{u_{t_i}}^2 < \Psi - 1. \tag{5.31}$$

From Lemma 4.1, we obtain $\lambda_1\lambda_2 < \frac{1}{2}$ on $\partial\Omega$, and then

$$\frac{2(1-\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2)}{(1+\lambda_1^2)(1+\lambda_2^2)} \geq v_{u_{t_i}}^{-2} > \frac{1}{\Psi} \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \tag{5.32}$$

By Lemma 5.2, (5.32) holds on Ω. By a simple computation of $\lambda_1\lambda_2$ in (5.32), it follows that

$$\sup_{\Omega} |\bigwedge^2 du_{t_i}| < \frac{2\Psi}{2\Psi+1}. \tag{5.33}$$

Then we obtain

$$\Delta_{u_{t_i}} \log v_{u_{t_i}} > 0,$$

where $\Delta_{u_{t_i}}$ is the Laplacian of $\text{graph}_{u_{t_i}}$. Hence combining (5.31) and the maximum principle, we have

$$\sup_{\Omega} v_{u_{t_i}}^2 < \Psi - 1.$$

Hence, there is a subsequence t_{i_j} of t_i such that $u_{t_{i_j}}$ converges to a Lipschitz function u_{t_*}, which is a weak solution to (5.27) with $u_{t_*} = t_*\psi$ on $\partial\Omega$.

The interior regularity of u_{t_*} follows from Theorem 4.1 in [30]. By Allard's regularity theorem in [2] (see also Theorem 2.3 in [15]), we can obtain the boundary regularity of u_{t_*}. Therefore $t_* \in J$, and then we complete the proof. □

6. Non-existence results for solutions of Dirichlet problems

Theorem 6.1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 3)$ with a smooth mean convex boundary, and suppose that there is a point $q \in \partial\Omega$ such that $\partial\Omega$ is not convex, but has zero mean curvature at q. Then for any constant $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$, there exists a vector-valued function $\psi = (\psi^1, \psi^2) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^2)$ with $|D\psi^1| \leq \epsilon$ such that the minimal surface system* (5.27) *has no classical solutions.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that q is the origin, the unit normal vector ν at 0 to $\partial\Omega$ is parallel to the axis x_n, and $\langle D_{e_1} e_1, \nu\rangle < 0$ at 0. Here, e_1 is a unit tangent vector field of $\partial\Omega$ in a neighborhood of the origin, such that e_1 is parallel to the axis x_1 at 0.

Let ψ be a linear function on $\overline{\Omega}$ such that $D\psi = \epsilon E_1$ for some fixed constant $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$. Here, $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ is a standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that E_n is parallel to the axis x_n. Let φ be a smooth function on $\overline{\Omega}$ to be defined later. Assume that there is a smooth solution (u, v) of the minimal surface system

$$\begin{cases} g^{ij}u_{ij} = g^{ij}v_{ij} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = \varphi, \quad v = \psi & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

with $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + u_i u_j + v_i v_j$.

Let $p_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + u_i u_j + \psi_i \psi_j$, and (p^{ij}) be the inverse matrix of (p_{ij}). Note that $D^2\psi \equiv 0$, which means

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} p^{ij}\psi_{ij} = 0.$$

Then by the maximum principle, $v = \psi$ on $\overline{\Omega}$.

Put $P_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \psi_i\psi_j + w_i w_j$ for some function $w \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$. Then

$$P_{11} = 1 + \epsilon^2 + w_1^2,$$

and

$$P_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + w_i w_j, \qquad \text{for } 1 \le i, j \le n,\ i + j \neq 2.$$

Put $W(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = w\left(\frac{1}{1+\epsilon^2}x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\right)$, and

$$|w|^2_{\epsilon,1} = \frac{w_1^2}{1+\epsilon^2} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} w_i^2.$$

The components of the inverse matrix of (P_{ij}) are (One can check it directly)

$$P^{11} = \frac{1}{1+\epsilon^2} - \frac{W_1 W_1}{1 + |w|^2_{\epsilon,1}},$$

and

$$P^{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{W_i W_j}{1 + |w|^2_{\epsilon,1}}, \qquad \text{for } 1 \le i, j \le n,\ i + j \neq 2.$$

Let $d(x) = d(x, \partial\Omega)$ for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ as before. Set $d_{ij} = \partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j} d$ for $1 \le i, j \le n$. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ be a local orthonormal basis in a neighborhood of the origin, such that e_1 is parallel to the axis x_1 at 0. Set $\Omega_t = \{x \in \Omega |\ d(x, \Omega) > t\}$ for $t \ge 0$ as before. Let e_n be the unit normal vector field to $\partial\Omega_t$ so that e_n points into Ω_t. Since d is a constant on $\partial\Omega_t$, then at the point $0 \in \partial\Omega$ we get $\left(D_{e_1}D_{e_1} - (D_{e_1}e_1)^T\right) d = 0$, and

$$\partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_1} d = d_{11} = (D_{e_1}e_1)^T d = (D_{e_1}e_1)d - \langle D_{e_1}e_1, e_n\rangle D_{e_n} d = \langle D_{e_1}e_1, e_n\rangle < 0.$$

Combining (3.19), there is a positive constant $a > 0$ such that

$$d_{11} < -a \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Delta d \ge -\frac{a\epsilon^2}{2(1+\epsilon^2)} \tag{6.2}$$

on $B_a(0) \cap \Omega$.

Let χ be a C^2-function on $(0, a)$ such that $\chi(2a) = 0$, $\chi' \le 0$, $\chi'(0) = -\infty$. Let $\chi_\delta(t) = \chi(t - \delta)$ for any $t \in (\delta, a)$. Set $\Omega_{\delta,a} = \{x \in B_a(0) \cap \Omega |\ \delta < d(x) < a\}$, and

$$w(x) = \chi_\delta(d(x)) + \sup_{|y|=a} u(y) \qquad \text{for } x \in \Omega_{\delta,a}.$$

Put $|d|^2_{\epsilon,1} = \frac{d_1^2}{1+\epsilon^2} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} d_i^2$, then $|w|^2_{\epsilon,1} = (\chi'_\delta)^2 |d|^2_{\epsilon,1}$. For such w, the matrix (P^{ij}) has components

$$P^{11} = \frac{1}{1+\epsilon^2} - \frac{(\chi'_\delta)^2 d_1^2}{1 + (\chi'_\delta)^2 |d|^2_{\epsilon,1}},$$

and

$$P^{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{(\chi'_\delta)^2 d_i d_j}{1 + (\chi'_\delta)^2 |d|^2_{\epsilon,1}}, \qquad \text{for } 1 \le i, j \le n,\ i + j \neq 2.$$

So one has

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j=1}^n P^{ij}d_id_j =&\frac{d_1^2}{1+\epsilon^2}-\frac{(\chi'_\delta)^2d_1^4}{1+(\chi'_\delta)^2|d|^2_{\epsilon,1}}+\sum_{i,j=1,i+j\neq 2}^n\left(\delta_{ij}d_id_j-\frac{(\chi'_\delta)^2d_i^2d_j^2}{1+(\chi'_\delta)^2|d|^2_{\epsilon,1}}\right)\\ =&|d|^2_{\epsilon,1}-\frac{(\chi'_\delta)^2}{1+(\chi'_\delta)^2|d|^2_{\epsilon,1}}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.3}$$

By the monotonicity of the function $s-\frac{(\chi'_\delta)^2}{1+(\chi'_\delta)^2 s}$ on $s\in(0,1]$, we get

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n P^{ij}d_id_j\le 1-\frac{(\chi'_\delta)^2}{1+(\chi'_\delta)^2}=\frac{1}{1+(\chi'_\delta)^2}.\tag{6.4}$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n P^{ij}d_{ij}=\frac{d_{11}}{1+\epsilon^2}-\frac{(\chi'_\delta)^2d_{11}d_1^2}{1+(\chi'_\delta)^2|d|^2_{\epsilon,1}}+\sum_{i,j=1,i+j\neq 2}^n\left(\delta_{ij}d_{ij}-\frac{(\chi'_\delta)^2d_{ij}d_id_j}{1+(\chi'_\delta)^2|d|^2_{\epsilon,1}}\right).\tag{6.5}$$

Note that $\sum_{i,j=1}^n d_{ij}d_id_j=\frac{1}{2}D|Dd|^2Dd=0$. Combining (6.2) we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n P^{ij}d_{ij}=\frac{d_{11}}{1+\epsilon^2}+\sum_{i=2}^n d_{ii}=-\frac{\epsilon^2}{1+\epsilon^2}d_{11}+\Delta d>\frac{\epsilon^2}{1+\epsilon^2}a-\frac{a\epsilon^2}{2(1+\epsilon^2)}=\frac{a\epsilon^2}{2(1+\epsilon^2)}\tag{6.6}$$

on $B_a(0)\cap\Omega$.

Set

$$\chi(d)=\frac{2\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2}}{\sqrt{a}\epsilon}\left(\sqrt{2a}-\sqrt{d}\right),$$

then $\chi'=-\frac{\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2}}{\sqrt{a}\epsilon\sqrt{d}}$ and $\chi''=\frac{\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2}}{2\sqrt{a}\epsilon d^{\frac{3}{2}}}\ge 0$ on $(0,a)$. Hence on $\Omega_{\delta,a}$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j=1}^n P^{ij}\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j}\chi_\delta =&\chi'_\delta\sum_{i,j=1}^n P^{ij}d_{ij}+\chi''_\delta\sum_{i,j=1}^n P^{ij}d_id_j<\chi'_\delta\frac{a\epsilon^2}{2(1+\epsilon^2)}+\frac{\chi''_\delta}{(\chi'_\delta)^2}\\ =&-\frac{\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2}}{\sqrt{a}\epsilon\sqrt{d-\delta}}\frac{a\epsilon^2}{2(1+\epsilon^2)}+\frac{\sqrt{a}\epsilon}{2\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{d-\delta}}=0.\end{aligned}\tag{6.7}$$

Since $\chi'_\delta=-\infty$ on $\partial\Omega_{\delta,a}\setminus\partial B_a(0)$, then by Theorem 13.10 in [9], we have

$$u\le\chi_\delta+\sup_{|y|=a}u(y)\qquad\text{on }\Omega_{\delta,a}.$$

Letting $\delta\to 0$, then

$$u\le\frac{2\sqrt{2(1+\epsilon^2)}}{\epsilon}+\sup_{|y|=a}u(y)\qquad\text{on }B_a(0)\cap\Omega.\tag{6.8}$$

Let $\rho(x)=|x|$, and $l=\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)$. Then for every $i\in\{1,\cdots,n\}$,

$$0\le\rho_{ii}\triangleq\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_i}\rho=\frac{1}{|x|}-\frac{x_i^2}{|x|^3}\le\frac{1}{|x|},$$

and $\Delta\rho=\frac{n-1}{|x|}$. Choose $\phi\in C^2((a,l))$ such that $\phi(l)=0$, $\phi'\le 0$ and $\phi'(a)=-\infty$. Set

$$w(x)=\phi(\rho(x))+\sup_{\partial\Omega\setminus B_a(0)}u\qquad\text{for any }x\in\Omega\setminus B_a(0).$$

Note that $|D\rho| = 1$, then on $\Omega \setminus \overline{B_a(0)}$, analog to the proof of (6.4)(6.6), one has

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} P^{ij}\rho_i\rho_j \le \frac{1}{1+(\phi')^2}, \tag{6.9}$$

and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} P^{ij}\rho_{ij} = \frac{\rho_{11}}{1+\epsilon^2} + \sum_{i=2}^{n}\rho_{ii} = -\frac{\epsilon^2}{1+\epsilon^2}\rho_{11} + \Delta\rho \ge -\frac{\epsilon^2}{1+\epsilon^2}\frac{1}{|x|} + \frac{n-1}{|x|}. \tag{6.10}$$

By assumption $\epsilon \le 1$ and $n \ge 3$, we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} P^{ij}\rho_{ij} \ge \frac{1}{\rho}. \tag{6.11}$$

Let

$$\phi(\rho) = \int_{\rho}^{l} \left(\log\frac{t}{a}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt.$$

Then $\phi' = -\left(\log\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\phi'' = \frac{1}{2}\left(\log\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\frac{1}{\rho}$. On $\Omega \setminus \overline{B_a(0)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} P^{ij}\phi_{ij} =& \phi' \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} P^{ij}\rho_{ij} + \phi'' \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} P^{ij}\rho_i\rho_j \le \frac{\phi'}{\rho} + \frac{\phi''}{(\phi')^2} \\ =& -\left(\log\frac{\rho}{a}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2\rho\sqrt{\log\frac{\rho}{a}}} < 0.\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

Since $\phi'(a) = -\infty$, then by Theorem 13.10 in [9], we have

$$u \le \phi + \sup_{\partial\Omega\setminus B_a} u(y) \qquad \text{on } \Omega \setminus B_a(0).$$

Recalling (6.8), we obtain

$$u \le \frac{2\sqrt{2(1+\epsilon^2)}}{\epsilon} + \phi(a) + \sup_{\partial\Omega\setminus B_a(0)} u(y) \qquad \text{on } \Omega \cap B_a(0). \tag{6.13}$$

Hence on $\partial\Omega \cap B_a(0)$, u cannot be arbitrary. Namely, if there is a point $x \in \partial\Omega \cap B_a(0)$ such that

$$\varphi(x) > \frac{2\sqrt{2(1+\epsilon^2)}}{\epsilon} + \phi(a) + \sup_{\partial\Omega\setminus B_a(0)} \varphi(y),$$

then the minimal surface system (6.1) has no classical solution. □

7. Uniqueness of solutions of Dirichlet problems

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a local orthonormal frame field of M at the considered point. Let $A_{ij} = \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}e_j - \nabla_{e_i}e_j$ be the components of the second fundamental form of M. Let Φ be a smooth vector field in the normal space NM with compact support in $M \setminus \partial M$. Assume that ϵ_0 is sufficiently small, such that the hypersurface $M_s = M + s\Phi$, given by

$$\mathrm{F}(\cdot, s) :\ M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathrm{F}(p, s) = p + s\Phi(p)$$

is smooth for every $|s| < \epsilon_0$. Let H_s be the mean curvature vector of M_s, and $\Delta_M^\perp$ be the normal Laplacian on M in the normal space NM. By a standard computation,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} H_s\Big|_{s=0} = \Delta_M^\perp \Phi + \sum_{i,j} \langle \Phi, A_{ij}\rangle A_{ij}. \tag{7.1}$$

Furthermore, from the appendix,

$$\frac{1}{s} H_s = \Delta_M^\perp \Phi + \sum_{i,j} \langle \Phi, A_{ij}\rangle A_{ij} + s\mathcal{Q}_s\left(\Phi, \nabla^\perp \Phi, (\nabla^\perp)^2 \Phi\right), \tag{7.2}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_{s,\Phi} = \mathcal{Q}_s\left(\Phi, \nabla^\perp\Phi, (\nabla^\perp)^2\Phi\right)$ is a vector-valued function defined by the following combination

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{s,\Phi} =& Y_0 * \Phi * \Phi + Y_1 * \Phi * \nabla^\perp \Phi + Y_2 * \nabla^\perp \Phi * \nabla^\perp \Phi \\ &+ Y_3 * \Phi * (\nabla^\perp)^2 \Phi + Y_4 * \nabla^\perp \Phi * (\nabla^\perp)^2 \Phi. \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

Here, Y_i are smooth vector fields depending on s, Φ, $\nabla^\perp\Phi$, $(\nabla^\perp)^2\Phi$, and $\nabla^\perp$ is the normal connection. Hence, if $\sum_{i=0}^2 \left|(\nabla^\perp)^i \Phi\right|$ is bounded and s is sufficiently small, then $\sum_{i=0}^4 |Y_i|$ is bounded, and

$$|\mathcal{Q}_{s,\Phi}| \le C_M \left(|\Phi|^2 + |\nabla^\perp \Phi|^2 + \left(|\Phi| + |\nabla^\perp \Phi|\right)\left|(\nabla^\perp)^2 \Phi\right|\right), \tag{7.4}$$

where C_M depends only on the bounds of R_M, ∇R_M and $\nabla^2 R_M$. Here, R_M is the curvature tensor of M. Let $L_{M,s}$ be the the second order operator satisfying

$$L_{M,s}\xi = \Delta_M^\perp \xi + \sum_{i,j} \langle \xi, A_{ij}\rangle A_{ij} + s\mathcal{Q}_{s,\xi}, \tag{7.5}$$

and

$$L_M \xi = \Delta_M^\perp \xi + \sum_{i,j} \langle \xi, A_{ij}\rangle A_{ij} \tag{7.6}$$

for each non-zero normal vector field $\xi \in C_c^\infty(M \setminus \partial M, NM)$. M is strictly stable if and only if the first eigenvalue of L_M is positive.

Now we use the Schauder fixed point theorem to show existence for minimal submanifolds in a neighborhood of a strictly stable minimal submanifold (Lemma 5.1 is the graphic case).

Lemma 7.1. *Let M be an n-dimensional smooth strictly stable compact minimal submanifold with smooth boundary ∂M in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$. There exists a constant $\epsilon_M > 0$ such that for any vector field $\Psi \in C_c^\infty(M, NM)$ with $|\Psi|_{W^{2,p}} \le 1$ for some $p > n$, there is a smooth vector field Φ in $C^\infty(M, NM)$ so that $M_s = M + s\Phi$ is a smooth minimal submanifold with boundary $\partial M + s\Psi$ for all $|s| \le \epsilon_M$.*

Proof. Consider the compact convex set $\mathfrak{S}_t = \left\{\xi \in C^1(M) \middle| |\xi|_{W^{2,p}(M)} \le t\right\}$. For any $\xi \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ and any sufficiently small $s > 0$, the operator $T_{s,\Psi}$ is defined by letting $\zeta = T_{s,\Psi}\xi$ be the unique solution in $W^{2,p}(M, NM)$ of the following linear Dirichlet problem,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_M^\perp \zeta + \sum_{i,j} \langle \zeta, A_{ij}\rangle A_{ij} + s\mathcal{Q}_s(\xi, \nabla^\perp \xi, (\nabla^\perp)^2 \xi) = 0 & \text{in } M \setminus \partial M \\ \zeta = s\Psi & \text{on } \partial M \end{cases}. \tag{7.7}$$

Here, the solution is unique because L_M is an elliptic operator, the existence comes from a Fredholm alternative, and the regularity follows from $W^{2,p}(M)$ estimates for elliptic equations.

Analogously to the proof of Lemma 5.1, we obtain

$$|T_{s,\Psi}\xi|_{W^{2,p}(M)} = |\zeta|_{W^{2,p}(M)} \le C_{M,p}\left(s|\xi|_{W^{2,p}(M)} + |\Psi|_{W^{2,p}(M)}\right), \tag{7.8}$$

where $C_{M,p}$ is a constant depending only on p, n, m and the geometry of M and ∂M. With $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3C_{M,p}}$,

$$|T_{s,\Psi}\xi|_{W^{2,p}(M)} \le \frac{1}{3}|\xi|_{W^{2,p}(M)} + \frac{1}{3} \qquad \text{for any } \ |s| \le \epsilon,\ \xi \in \mathfrak{S}_1 \ \text{ and } \Psi \in \mathfrak{S}_\epsilon. \tag{7.9}$$

Obviously, $T_{s,\Psi}$ is a continuous mapping of $\mathfrak{S}_1$ into $\mathfrak{S}_1$. Hence by the Schauder fixed point theorem (see [9]), there is a fixed point $\Phi_s \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ for the operator $T_{s,\Psi}$. Namely,

$$\Phi_s = T_{s,\Psi}\Phi_s.$$

By the regularity of minimal submanifolds (Morrey [20, 21], Allard [2]), $M_s = M + s\Phi_s$ is a smooth minimal submanifold with boundary $\partial M + s\Psi$ for all $|s| \le \epsilon^2$ and $\Psi \in \mathfrak{S}_1$. □

In [17], Lee-Wang obtained a uniqueness theorem for nonparametric minimal submanifolds, whose graphic functions are both distance-decreasing and equal on the boundary.

Let Ω be a smooth mean convex bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\psi = (\psi^1, \psi^2)$ be a smooth vector-valued function defined as in Theorem 5.3, and $u_t = (u_t^1, u_t^2)$ be the smooth solution in Theorem 5.3 of the Dirichlet problem (5.27) with boundary $t\psi$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, and

$$\sup_{\Omega} \Big|\bigwedge^2 du_t\Big| < 1.$$

Then graph_{u_t} is strictly stable from the proof in [16] and [17]. Actually, we can show that graph_{u_t} is the unique smooth strictly stable minimal graph with prescribed boundary $\{(x, \psi(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m |\ x \in \partial\Omega\}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_t = \{(x, t\psi(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^2 |\ x \in \partial\Omega\}$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem 7.2. *Any strictly stable minimal submanifold, which is smooth up to its boundary $\mathcal{B}_t$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, must be unique, and it coincides with the smooth solution obtained in Theorem 5.3.*

Proof. Let J be the subset of $[0, 1]$ defined as

$\{t \in [0, 1] |$there is only one smooth strictly stable minimal graph with boundary $\mathcal{B}_t\}$.

We want to show $J = [0, 1]$.

It is clear that $0 \in J$. If $[0, 1]\setminus J$ is non-empty, then let $t_* = \inf_{t\in[0,1]\setminus J} t$. If $t_* \in [0, 1]\setminus J$, it follows that $t_* > 0$ as $0 \in J$. There are two distinct strictly stable minimal graphs Σ and Σ' with $\partial\Sigma = \partial\Sigma' = \mathcal{B}_{t_*}$. By Theorem 5.1, there is a sufficiently small constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that there are two different families of minimal graphs Σ_s and Σ'_s with $\partial\Sigma_s = \partial\Sigma'_s = \mathcal{B}_s$ for any $t_* - \epsilon_0 < s < t_*$, and $\Sigma_s \to \Sigma$, $\Sigma'_s \to \Sigma'$ smoothly as $s \to t_*$. So Σ_s, Σ'_s are strictly stable, but this contradicts the choice of t_*. Therefore, we conclude $t_* \in J$.

For any sequence $\{t_k\} \subset J$ with $t_k \to t^*$, there are two distinct strictly stable minimal graphs S_k and S'_k with $\partial S_k = \partial S'_k = \mathcal{B}_{t_k}$. In other words, there are smooth solutions u_k, w_k of the Dirichlet problem (5.27) with $u_k = w_k = t_k\psi$ on $\partial\Omega$ such that $S'_k = \mathrm{graph}_{u_k}$ and $S_k = \mathrm{graph}_{w_k}$. Therefore, both of u_k and w_k converge smoothly to u_* on Ω.

For sufficiently large $k > 0$, S'_k can be seen as a graph (codimension 2) over S_k with the graphic vector-valued function $\Phi_k \in C_0^\infty(S_k, NS_k)$. Let Δ_{S_k} be the Laplacian of

$\text{graph}_{w_k} = S_k$. Let $A^k_{ij} = \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} e_j - \nabla_{e_i} e_j$ be the components of the second fundamental form of S_k. From (7.4), one has

$$\Delta^{\perp}_{S_k}\Phi_k + \sum_{i,j}\langle \Phi_k, A^k_{ij}\rangle A^k_{ij} + \mathcal{Q}_{S_k}(\Phi_k, \nabla^{\perp}_{S_k}\Phi_k, (\nabla^{\perp}_{S_k})^2\Phi_k) = 0 \tag{7.10}$$

with

$$|\mathcal{Q}_{S_k}(\Phi_k, \nabla^{\perp}_{S_k}\Phi_k, (\nabla^{\perp}_{S_k})^2\Phi_k)| \le C_{S_k}|\Phi_k|_{C^2(S_k)}\left(|\Phi_k| + |\nabla^{\perp}_{S_k}\Phi_k|\right)$$

for any $k \ge 0$, where C_{S_k} is a constant depending only on n, m, the bounds of R_{S_k}, $\nabla_{S_k} R_{S_k}$ and $\nabla^2_{S_k} R_{S_k}$. We multiply the vector-valued function Φ_k on both sides of (7.10) and integrate by parts. From the strictly stability of S_k, we obtain the following estimate

$$|\Phi_k|_{W^{1,2}(S_k)} \le C'_{S_k}|\Phi_k|_{C^2(S_k)}\,|\Phi_k|_{W^{1,2}(S_k)}\,, \tag{7.11}$$

where, C'_{S_k} is a constant depending only on n, m, the bounds of R_{S_k}, $\nabla_{S_k} R_{S_k}$ and $\nabla^2_{S_k} R_{S_k}$.

Since S_k converges to $\text{graph}_{u^*} \triangleq M$ smoothly, which is strictly stable by assumption on $\mathcal{B}_t$, then C'_{S_k} are bounded by a constant independent of k. Note that $|\Phi_k|_{C^2(S_k)} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. So (7.11) does not hold for the sufficiently large $k > 0$ unless $\Phi_k \equiv 0$. Hence $J = [0, 1]$. □

By the proof of the above Theorem, the following Corollary is clear.

Corollary 7.3. *Let M be an n-dimensional smooth strictly stable minimal submanifold with smooth compact boundary in $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. Then there is a small neighborhood $\mathcal{V}_M$ of M such that each minimal submanifold in $\mathcal{V}_M$ with boundary ∂M must be M.*

8. Appendix I. Calculations for graphs over a submanifold

In this appendix, we will calculate the mean curvature vectors for a one-parameter family M_s over an n-smooth embedded submanifold M in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ (See the case of hypersurfaces by Colding-Minicozzi in [4]). Let $\nabla^{\perp}$ denote the normal connection in NM defined by

$$\nabla^{\perp}_X \nu = \left(\overline{\nabla}_X \nu\right)^{\perp}$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\nu \in \Gamma(NM)$. Let $\{\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^m$ be a fixed orthonormal frame for the normal space NM such that $\nabla^{\perp}\mathbf{n}^{\alpha} = 0$, and $(\phi^1, \cdots, \phi^m)$ be a vector-valued function on M. Let M_s be given by

$$F(\cdot, s):\ M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \quad \text{with} \quad F(p, s) = p + s\phi^{\alpha}\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}.$$

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a local orthonormal frame for the tangent space TM. Let $A_{ij} = \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} e_j - \nabla_{e_i} e_j$ be the components of the second fundamental form of M, and

$$h^{\alpha}_{ij} = \langle A_{ij}, \mathbf{n}^{\alpha}\rangle = \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} e_j, \mathbf{n}^{\alpha}\rangle.$$

We extend both the functions ϕ^{α} and the frame $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ to a small neighborhood of M by parallel translation along the normal frame, so that $\langle \mathbf{n}^{\alpha}, \nabla\phi^{\beta}\rangle = 0$ and $\overline{\nabla}_{\mathbf{n}^{\alpha}} e_i = 0$.

The tangent space of M_s is spanned by $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^n$, where

$$F_i(p, s) = dF_{(p,s)}(e_i(p)) = e_i(p) + s\phi^{\alpha}_i \mathbf{n}^{\alpha}(p) - s\phi^{\alpha} h^{\alpha}_{ik} e_k(p). \tag{8.1}$$

Note that $\{F_i\}$ is not orthonormal in general. The metric $g_{ij}(p, s)$ of M_s at $F(p, s)$ related to the frame F_i is

$$g_{ij}(p, s) = \langle F_i, F_j\rangle = \delta_{ij} - 2s\phi^{\alpha} h^{\alpha}_{ij} + s^2\phi^{\alpha}_i\phi^{\alpha}_j + s^2\phi^{\alpha}\phi^{\beta} h^{\alpha}_{ik} h^{\beta}_{jk}. \tag{8.2}$$

Let $\mathbf{a}$ be the matrix with elements $\mathbf{a}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - s\phi^\alpha h^\alpha_{ij}$, then $\mathbf{a}$ is positive definite if $s\phi$ is sufficiently small. Let $\mathbf{a}^{-1}$ be the inverse matrix of $\mathbf{a}$. Put

$$\tilde{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha_s(p) = \mathbf{n}^\alpha(p) - s\nabla\phi^\alpha - s^2\left(\mathbf{a}^{-1}\right)_{ij}\phi^\beta h^\beta_{ik}\phi^\alpha_k e_j(p), \tag{8.3}$$

then

$$\langle F_i(p,s), \tilde{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha_s(p)\rangle = s\phi^\alpha_i - s\phi^\alpha_j \mathbf{a}_{ij} - s^2\phi^\beta h^\beta_{ik}\phi^\alpha_k = 0$$

for any $i = 1, \cdots, n$ and $\alpha = 1, \cdots, m$.

Let $P_{s,\phi}$ and $Q_{s,\phi}$ stand for general functions of the form

$$P_{s,\phi} = f_0 * \phi^\alpha * \phi^\beta + f_1 * \phi^\alpha * \nabla\phi^\beta + f_2 * \nabla\phi^\alpha * \nabla\phi^\beta \tag{8.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{s,\phi} =& \tilde{f}_0 * \phi^\alpha * \phi^\beta + \tilde{f}_1 * \phi^\alpha * \nabla\phi^\beta + \tilde{f}_2 * \nabla\phi^\alpha * \nabla\phi^\beta \\ &+ \tilde{f}_3 * \phi^\alpha * \nabla^2\phi^\beta + \tilde{f}_4 * \nabla\phi^\alpha * \nabla^2\phi^\beta, \end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

where f_i are smooth vector fields depending on s, $\phi^\alpha, \nabla\phi^\alpha$, and $\tilde{f}_i$ are smooth vector fields depending on s, ϕ^α, $\nabla\phi^\alpha$, $\nabla^2\phi^\alpha$ such that if $\sum_{0\le k\le 2,\alpha} |\nabla^k\phi^\alpha|$ is bounded, then $\sum_{i=0}^2 (|f_i| + |\nabla f_i|) + \sum_{i=0}^4 |\tilde{f}_i|$ is bounded for the sufficiently small $s > 0$. Note that the precise form of $P_{s,\phi}$ and $Q_{s,\phi}$ may be different even in the same line.

From now on, we assume that s is sufficiently small. Then

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha_s(p)| = \sqrt{1 + s^2 P_{s,\phi}} = 1 + s^2 P_{s,\phi}. \tag{8.6}$$

Let

$$\mathbf{n}^\alpha_s(p) = \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha_s(p)}{|\tilde{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha_s(p)|},$$

then $\{\mathbf{n}^\alpha_s(p)\}$ forms a basis (not necessarily orthonormal) for the normal space NM_s at the point $F(p,s)$ and $\mathbf{n}^\alpha_0(p) = \mathbf{n}^\alpha(p)$. Then one has

$$\mathbf{n}^\alpha_s(p) = \mathbf{n}^\alpha(p) - s\nabla\phi^\alpha + s^2 P_{s,\phi}. \tag{8.7}$$

From $\overline{\nabla}_{\mathbf{n}^\alpha} e_i = 0$, a direct computation implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\mathbf{n}^\alpha, e_j\right\rangle =& \phi^\beta \overline{\nabla}_{\mathbf{n}^\beta}\left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\mathbf{n}^\alpha, e_j\right\rangle = \phi^\beta\left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{\mathbf{n}^\beta}\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\mathbf{n}^\alpha, e_j\right\rangle \\ =& \phi^\beta\left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\nabla}_{\mathbf{n}^\beta}\mathbf{n}^\alpha, e_j\right\rangle + \phi^\beta\left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{[\mathbf{n}^\beta, e_i]}\mathbf{n}^\alpha, e_j\right\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{8.8}$$

at the point $F(p,s)$. Since

$$\left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{\mathbf{n}^\beta}\mathbf{n}^\alpha, e_i\right\rangle = -\left\langle \mathbf{n}^\alpha, \overline{\nabla}_{\mathbf{n}^\beta} e_i\right\rangle = 0, \tag{8.9}$$

then with $\nabla^\perp\mathbf{n}^\alpha = 0$ one has

$$\left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\overline{\nabla}_{\mathbf{n}^\beta}\mathbf{n}^\alpha, e_j\right\rangle = -\left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{\mathbf{n}^\beta}\mathbf{n}^\alpha, \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} e_j\right\rangle = 0, \tag{8.10}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\mathbf{n}^\alpha, e_j\right\rangle =& \phi^\beta\left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{[\mathbf{n}^\beta, e_i]}\mathbf{n}^\alpha, e_j\right\rangle = -\phi^\beta\left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\mathbf{n}^\beta}\mathbf{n}^\alpha, e_j\right\rangle \\ =& -\phi^\beta\left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_k} e_j, \mathbf{n}^\alpha\right\rangle\left\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} e_k, \mathbf{n}^\beta\right\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{8.11}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Big|_{(p,0)} \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\mathbf{n}^\alpha = -\phi^\beta h^\beta_{ik} h^\alpha_{jk} e_j. \tag{8.12}$$

Taking the derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ again on the both sides of (8.11), one has

$$\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\mathbf{n}^\alpha = -h^\alpha_{ij}e_j(p) - s\phi^\beta h^\beta_{ik}h^\alpha_{jk}e_j(p) + s^2P_{s,\phi}. \tag{8.13}$$

Denote $F_i' = F_i'(p,0) = \phi^\alpha_i\mathbf{n}^\alpha(p) - \phi^\alpha h^\alpha_{ik}e_k(p)$, then

$$s\overline{\nabla}_{F_i'}\mathbf{n}^\alpha = -s\phi^\beta h^\beta_{ik}\overline{\nabla}_{e_k}\mathbf{n}^\alpha = s\phi^\beta h^\beta_{ik}h^\alpha_{jk}e_j(p) + s^2P_{s,\phi}. \tag{8.14}$$

Hence at the point $F(p,s)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\overline{\nabla}_{F_i}\mathbf{n}^\alpha_s(p) =& -\overline{\nabla}_{e_i}\mathbf{n}^\alpha + s\nabla_{e_i}\nabla\phi^\alpha - s\overline{\nabla}_{F_i'}\mathbf{n}^\alpha + s^2Q_{s,\phi}\\ =& h^\alpha_{ij}e_j(p) + s\mathrm{Hess}_{\phi^\alpha}\left(e_i(p),e_j(p)\right)e_j(p) + s^2Q_{s,\phi}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.15}$$

Therefore, at the point $F(p,s)$ one has

$$\langle\nabla_{F_i}F_j, \mathbf{n}^\alpha_s(p)\rangle = -\left\langle\overline{\nabla}_{F_i}\mathbf{n}^\alpha_s(p), F_j\right\rangle = h^\alpha_{ij} + s\mathrm{Hess}_{\phi^\alpha}\left(e_i(p),e_j(p)\right) - s\phi^\beta h^\beta_{jk}h^\alpha_{ik} + s^2Q_{s,\phi}. \tag{8.16}$$

Since $g^{ij} = \delta_{ij} + 2s\phi^\alpha h^\alpha_{ij} + s^2P_{s,\phi}$, then the α-mean curvature

$$\begin{aligned} H^\alpha_s(p) \triangleq& g^{ij}\left\langle\overline{\nabla}_{F_i}F_j, \mathbf{n}^\alpha_s(p)\right\rangle\\ =& H^\alpha(p) + s\left(\Delta_M\phi^\alpha + \phi^\beta h^\beta_{ij}h^\alpha_{ij}\right) + s^2Q_{s,\phi}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.17}$$

Let

$$\tau_{\alpha\beta}(p,s) = \langle\mathbf{n}^\alpha_s(p), \mathbf{n}^\beta_s(p)\rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta} + s^2P_{s,\phi}$$

at $F(p,s)$, and $\tau^{\alpha\beta}(p,s)$ be the inverse matrix of $\tau_{\alpha\beta}(p,s)$.

Let $H_s(p)$ denote the mean curvature vector of M_s at $F(p,s)$. Then

$$H_s(p) = \tau^{\alpha\beta}H^\alpha_s(p)\mathbf{n}^\beta_s = H^\alpha(p)\mathbf{n}^\alpha_s(p) + s\left(\Delta_M\phi^\alpha + \phi^\beta h^\beta_{ij}h^\alpha_{ij}\right)\mathbf{n}^\alpha_s(p) + s^2Q_{s,\phi}. \tag{8.18}$$

Put $\Delta^\perp_M\xi = \nabla^\perp_{e_i}\nabla^\perp_{e_i}\xi$ for $\xi\in\Gamma(NM)$, and $\Phi = \phi^\alpha\mathbf{n}^\alpha$. In particular, if M is a minimal submanifold, then

$$H_s(p) = s\left(\Delta^\perp_M\Phi + \langle\Phi, A_{ij}\rangle A_{ij}\right) + s^2Q_{s,\phi}. \tag{8.19}$$

References

1. Allard, W. K. On the first variation of a varifold, Ann. of Math. **95(2)** (1972), 417-491.
2. W.K. Allard, On the first variation of a varifold: boundary behavior, Ann. of Math. **(2)101** (1975), 418-446.
3. S. Bernstein, Sur les surfaces définies au moyen de leur courbure moyenne ou totale, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **27** (1910), 233-256.
4. Tobias H. Colding and William P. Minicozzi II, Generic Mean Curvature Flow I; Generic Singularities, Ann. of Math., **175** (2) (2012), 755-833.
5. E. De Giorgi, Sulla differentiabilità e l'analiticità delle estremali degli integrali multipli regolari, Mem. Accad. Sci. Torino, s. III, parte I, (1957), 25-43.
6. Qi Ding, Zhizhang Wang, On the self-shrinking systems in arbitrary codimensional spaces, arXiv:1012.0429v2, 2010.
7. R. Finn, Remarks relevant to minimal surfaces and to surfaces of constant mean curvature, J. d'Analyse Mathématique **14** (1965), 139-160.
8. D. Gilbarg, Boundary value problems for nonlinear elliptic equations in n variables, Nonlinear Problems, University of Wisconsin Press, Madison (1963), 151-160.
9. D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, (1983).
10. A. Haar, Über das Plateausche Problem, Math. Ann. **97** (1927), 124-258.

11. S. Hildebrandt, J. Jost and K. Widman, Harmonic mappings and minimal submanifolds, Invent. math. **62** (1980), 269-298.
12. Howard Jenkins and James Serrin, The Dirichlet problem for the minimal surface equation in higher dimensions, J. Reine Angew. Math., **229** (1968), 170-187.
13. J. Jost, Y. L. Xin and Ling Yang, The regularity of harmonic maps into spheres and applications to Bernstein problems, J. Differential Geom. **90** (2012), no. 1, 131-176.
14. J. Jost, Y. L. Xin and Ling Yang, The Gauss image of entire graphs of higher codimension and Bernstein type theorems, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **47** (2013), no. 3-4, 711-737.
15. H. B. Lawson, Jr. and R. Osserman, Non-existence, non-uniqueness and irregularity of solutions to the minimal surface system, Acta Math. **139** (1977), no. 1-2, 1-17.
16. Yng-Ing Lee and Mao-Pei Tsui, Stability of the minimal surface system and convexity of area functional, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), no. 7, 3357-3371.
17. Yng-Ing Lee and Mu-Tao Wang, A note on the stability and uniqueness for solutions to the minimal surface system, Math. Res. Lett. **15** (2008), no. 1, 197-206.
18. G. M. Lieberman, Second order parabolic differential equations. World Scientific, River Edge, N.J., 1996.
19. F. H. Lin, X. P. Yang, Geometric measure theory: an introduction, Science Press, Beijing/ New York; International Press, Boston, 2002.
20. C. B. Morrey, Second order elliptic systems of differential equations, pp. 101-160. Contributions to the Theory of Partial Differential Equation. Annals of Math. Studies No. 33, Princeton U. Press, Princeton, 1954.
21. C. B. Morrey, Multiple Integrals in the Calculus el Variations, Springer Verlag, N.Y., 1966.
22. J. Moser, A new proof of de Giorgi's theorem concerning the regularity problem for elliptic differential equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **13** (1960), 457-468.
23. R. Osserman, Minimal varieties, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 1092-1120.
24. T. Rado, The problem of the least area and the problem of Plateau, Math. Z. **32** (1930), 763-796.
25. F. Sauvigny, Multiple solutions for the nonparametric Plateau problem within the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^p$ of arbitrary dimension, Calc.Var. 55 (2016): 140. doi:10.1007/s00526-016-1087-3
26. Leon Simon, Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory, Proceedings of the center for mathematical analysis Australian national university, Vol. 3, 1983.
27. G. Stampacchia, On some regular multiple integral problems in the calculus of variations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **16** (1963), 383-421.
28. M. P. Tsui and M. T. Wang, Mean curvature flows and isotopy of maps between spheres, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **57** (2004), 1110-1126.
29. Mu-Tao Wang, Long-time existence and convergence of graphic mean curvature flow in arbitrary codimension, Invent. Math. **148** (2002), no. 3, 525-543.
30. Mu-Tao Wang, The Dirichlet Problem for the minimal surface system in arbitrary dimensions and codimensions, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **57** (2004), no. 2, 267-281.
31. Mu-Tao Wang, Interior gradient bounds for solutions to the minimal surface system, Amer. J. Math. **126** (2004), no. 4, 921-934.
32. B. White, A Local Regularity Theorem for Mean Curvature Flow, Ann. of Math. **161** (2005), 1487-1519.

Shanghai Center for Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

E-mail address: dingqi@fudan.edu.cn

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, Inselstr. 22, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

E-mail address: jost@mis.mpg.de

Institute of Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

E-mail address: ylxin@fudan.edu.cn